(Physics Potential of) a Very Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment using a Wide Band Beam

Mark Dierckxsens

Brookhaven National Laboratory

DPF2006, Oct 29 – Nov 03, 2006

Outline

- ✔ Motivation for and concept of a very long baseline (VLBL) neutrino oscillation experiment
- ✔ Possibility of neutrino beams in the US
- ✔ Possibility of deep underground water Cherenkov detector in the US
- ✔ Recent improvements in e/π^0 separation in a water Cherenkov detector
- ✔ Physics potential: see talk D. Marfatia

Very Long Baseline Experiment

- ✔ Introduction
- ✔ Concept
- ✔ ν_μ disappearance
- ✔ ν_e appearance

Three neutrino oscillations

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

$$\begin{pmatrix}\nu_e\\ \nu_\mu\\ \nu_\tau\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3}\\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3}\\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\nu_1\\ \nu_2\\ \nu_3\end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

PMNS matrix:
3 mixing angles
1 CP phase
(2 CP Majorana phases)

solar atmospheric

$$U = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12} & 0\\ -\sin\theta_{12} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta_{13} & 0 & \sin\theta_{13}e^{i\delta}\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\sin\theta_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23}\\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23} & \cos\theta_{23}\end{pmatrix}$$

$\theta_{12} \approx 34^\circ$ $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.11$ (90%CL) $\theta_{23} \approx 45^\circ$

δ = ???

neutrino masses must differ to observe ν oscillations:

$$\Delta m^2_{21} = m^2_2 - m^2_1 \approx +7.9\ 10^{-5} eV^2$$
$$|\Delta m^2_{32}| = |m^2_3 - m^2_2| \approx 2.6\ 10^{-3} eV^2$$
$$\Delta m^2_{31} = \Delta m^2_{21} + \Delta m^2_{31}$$



VLBL Concept

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Very long baseline (VLBL) concept:

- ✔ Long distances between production and detection
- ✔ Wide band ν_μ beam
- ✔ Higher neutrino energies (> 0.5 GeV)

A single VLBL experiment will have:

- ✔ increased sensitivity to $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$
- ✔ good sensitivity to δ_{CP}
- ✔ potential for resolving mass hierarchy (sign of Δm^2_{32})
- ✔ capability for precision measurements of Δm^2_{32} & $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$

ν_μ disappearance

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Observe multiple nodes in oscillation pattern:
- ✔ less dependent on flux normalization

Longer distances → nodes at higher energies:
- ✔ easier to reconstruct
- ✔ higher cross sections

Baseline >1000km:
- ✔ 2 nodes out of fermi motion domain

$$P(\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \cdot \sin^2\left(\frac{1.27\, L\, \Delta m^2_{32}}{E}\right)$$



precision measurement Δm^2_{32} and $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$

ν_e appearance

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Approx. formula including matter effects (M. Freund) :

$$P(\nu_\mu \to \nu_e) \approx \sin^2\theta_{23}\sin^2 2\theta_{13}\frac{\sin^2((\hat{A}-1)\Delta)}{(\hat{A}-1)^2}$$ "atmospheric"

$$+ \alpha J_{CP}\frac{\sin(\Delta)\sin(\hat{A}\Delta)\sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})}$$ "CP effects"

$$+ \alpha I_{CP}\frac{\cos(\Delta)\sin(\hat{A}\Delta)\sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})}$$ "CP effects"

$$+ \alpha^2\cos^2\theta_{23}\sin^2 2\theta_{12}\frac{\sin^2(\hat{A}\Delta)}{\hat{A}^2}$$ "solar"

$\alpha = \Delta m^2_{21}/\Delta m^2_{31} \approx 0.03$, $\Delta = \Delta m^2_{31} L/4E$

$\hat{A} = 2\mathrm{VE}/\Delta m^2_{31} \approx (E_\nu/GeV)/11$ (Earth's crust), $V = \sqrt{2}\,G_F n_e$

$J_{CP} = \sin\delta_{CP}\cos\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{12}\sin 2\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{23}$,

$I_{CP} = \cos\delta_{CP}\cos\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{12}\sin 2\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{23}$

Numerical calculations used for actual results

ν_e appearance

- $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$ depends on all oscillation parameters and has following degeneracies:
  - intrinsic $(\theta_{13},\delta_{CP}) \leftrightarrow (\theta'_{13},\delta'_{CP})$
  - sign $\Delta m^2_{32} \leftrightarrow -\Delta m^2_{32}$
  - octant $\theta_{23} \leftrightarrow \pi/2-\theta_{23}$
- atmospheric term has effect of $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ and matter effects (~L)
- CP violating term ~L/E, flux ~L^{-2}
  $\rightarrow$ sensitivity to δ_{CP} independent of distance
  (Marciano hep-ph/0108181)
- solar term dominated by Δm^2_{21} and grows as ~ $(L/E)^2$

ν_e appearance

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Sensitive to different parameters in different energy regions:

	I	II	III
$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	+	+	+
sign(Δm^2_{32})	0	0	++
δ_{CP}	+	++	+
solar	++	+	+



Fully exploit shape of appearance spectrum:
helps in resolving ambiguities with one experiment at one baseline

Baselines in US



Sensitivity studies will cover 500-3000km baselines!

Wide band neutrino beams

- ✔ Making conventional ν_μ beams
- ✔ Beam from BNL
- ✔ Beam from FNAL
- ✔ Flux calculations

Making ν_μ beams

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

NuMI example:



- ✔ shoot protons on target
- ✔ magnetic horns: focus pions to get more flux and select ν or anti-ν
- ✔ decay pipe for pions to decay
- ✔ material to absorb remaining hadrons and muons

Beam from BNL

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

AGS upgrade: 28 GeV 1MW neutrino beam

- ✔ Increase intensity and repetition rate
- ✔ Needs new power supply, RF and replacing booster with 1.2 GeV SC linac



neutrino beamline on a hill:

- ✔ keep radiation above water table
- ✔ 45m high
- ✔ target on top
- ✔ 200 m decay pipe
- ✔ pointing ~11° down

Total cost estimate: $273M (excl. contingency)

"The AGS-Based Super Neutrino Beam Facility Conceptual Design Report", Weng, Diwan, Raparia et al., BNL-73210-2004-IR

Beam from FNAL

Possible proton beam power upgrades:

a) Proton plan:
- ✔ More protons in MI
- ✔ After Tevatron: batches pbar production available

b) Super NuMI: after Tevatron
- ✔ Phase I: use Recycler as pre-injector
- ✔ Phase II: also use Accumulator

c) High Intensity Neutrino Source (a.k.a. Proton driver):
- ✔ Replace booster with 8GeV sc linac



Phase II of sNuMI is part of the plan for the NOVA-I

"Fermilab Proton Projections for Long-Baseline Neutrino Beams", Bob Zwaska, FNAL-BEAM-DOCS-2393

Beam from FNAL

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Flexibility of proton energy:



Beam from FNAL

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Beamline: use existing NuMI extraction

- ✔ target hall: 45m
- ✔ decay pipe: 400m
- ✔ near detector: 300m from end decay pipe

Angles to:

- ✗ Homestake: 5.8°
- ✗ Henderson: 6.7°



Flux Calculations

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Simulation using NuMI Monte Carlo (gnumi):

- ✔ based on GEANT3 and Fluka05
- ✔ validated by MINOS Near Detector data

Modifications:

- ✔ Target
- ✔ Horns
- ✔ Decay pipe: r=2m, l=380m

→ Wide Band Low Energy (WBLE) beam



"Simulation of a Wide-band Low-Energy Neutrino Beam for Very Long Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Experiments", M. Bishai et al. BNL-76997-2006-IR

Flux Calculations



- Good agreement BNL & WBLE calculations (28GeV)
- Increase flux: longer decay pipe
  & higher proton energy

Water Cherenkov Detector

- ✔ Requirements
- ✔ UNO at Henderson
- ✔ Modular detector at Homestake
- ✔ e/π^0 separation

Water Cherenkov detector

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

- ✔ well established technique
- ✔ scale few times Super-K 50kT (22.5kT fiducial)
- ✔ several 100kTs (depends on physics)
- ✔ 20%-40% PMT coverage (depends on physics)
- ✔ 10% energy resolution on quasi-elastic ν_e interactions
- ✔ rejection neutral current interactions x10-20
- ✔ underground to reduce cosmics (no veto counter needed if deep enough)

Detector at Henderson

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

UNO detector:

- 1 large cavern
- 3 optically separated modules of 60x60x60 m^3
- total mass 440 kT fiducial
- central module 40% PMT coverage (low E physics)
- outer modules 10% PMT coverage
- optional finer granularity: 20 or 13 inch tubes
- optimal depth 5400mwe (2500 feet)
- construction time: 10 years
- coarse cost estimate scaling Super-K: $500M



Detector at Henderson

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Modular detector:

- ✔ module: ~50m ∅, ~50m h
- ✔ 100kT fiducial
- ✔ depth 4850 mwe
- ✔ coverage 25%
- ✔ 12 inch PMT





- ✔ initial detector 3 modules
- ✔ expand to 10 modules (or more) to get Mt detector
- ✔ detailed cost estimate: $100M/module

"Proposal for an Experimental Program in Neutrino Physics and Proton Decay in the Homestake Laboratory", M. Diwan et al., hep-ex/0608023

Water Cherenkov Simulation

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

- Full GEANT simulation of Super-KamiokaNDE used
- 40% PMT coverage
- atmospheric neutrino MC reweighted to match expected flux 28GeV AGS beam

"Pattern of Light" fit improves standard Super-K π^0 finder

Improvements at lower opening angles with finer granularity expected



"Background Rejection Study in a water Cherenkov detector", C. Yanagisawa, C. K. Jung, P.T. Le, B. Viren, July 18, 2006

Water Cherenkov Simulation

- Select single ring events and electrons
- Analysis of single ring pattern

No Δ log-likelihood cut (100% signal retained after initial cuts)



1300km
440kT

Δ log-likelihood cut (~50% signal retained)



- likelihood cut keeping 50% signal: S/B: 700/2004 → 350/169
- confirmed using T2K MC

"T2KK Project and Likelihood study", Fanny Dufour, U.S. Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment Study Workshop, September 16-17, 2006

ν_e appearance spectrum





	ν	anti-ν
Signal (CP=0)	1292	1147
Background	513	532
S/B	2.5	2.2

$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ sensitivity



ν + anti-ν
1300km

- ✔ Discovery potential for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \neq 0$
- ✔ Not strongly dependent on δ_{CP}
- ✔ 3σ discovery potential for $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} > 0.005$
- ✔ see next talk for more details on sensitivity calculations

Conclusions

- Reviewed concept and motivation for a very long baseline experiment using a wide band beam.
- MW scale proton machine in US possible at FNAL & BNL.
- Wide band beam simulated using experimentally validated numi MC.
- Large, deep underground water Cherenkov detectors are considered at Homestake and Henderson mines.
- Detailed cost estimate for a modular detector at Homestake.
- Recent work on e/π^0 separation shows required level of background reduction is feasible.
- See next talk for details on sensitivities to oscillation parameters.
- Seems feasible using known technologies!

Backup Slides

Beam from FNAL

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proton Math (120 GeV):

	Protons	Cycle Time	Power
• Current complex			
• No Improvements			
– Shared Beam	25×10^{12}	2.4 s	200 kW
– NuMI Alone	30×10^{12}	2 s	280 kW
• Proton Plan			
• Increase Beam Intensity			
– Shared Beam	37×10^{12}	2.2 s	320 kW
– NuMI Alone	49×10^{12}	2.2 s	430 kW
• SNuMI – Recycler			
• Reduce Cycle Time	49×10^{12}	1.33 s	700 kW
• SNuMI – Accumulator			
• Increase Beam Intensity	83×10^{12}	1.33 s	1200 kW
• HINS			
• Increase Beam Intensity	150×10^{12}	1.33 s	2200 kW

MI ramp rates



ABS vs WBLE flux



Good agreement between original AGS beam and WBLE predictions

WBLE changes to gnumi

TABLE I: Target and beam parameters: NuMI and WBLE

Component	NuMI	WBLE
Target		
Shape:	47 rectangular segments	solid cylindrical rod
	each 6.4mm wide × 18mm high	12mm diameter
	and 20mm long	
	= 0.954 m total length	0.8 m total length
Material:	graphite	carbon-carbon composite
Density:	1.784 g/cm^3	2.1 g/cm^3
Cooling:	water cooling tubes	Helium flow cooled
Proton beam parameters		
Energy:	120 GeV	28, 40, 60, 120 GeV
RMS width:	$\sigma_x = 1.1$mm, $\sigma_y = 1.25$mm	$\sigma_x = 1.5$mm, $\sigma_y = 1.5$mm









Modular detector: cost and time

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Construction costs for 3 caverns:

Table 3: Comparison of single chamber versus three chamber cost

Estimated Costs ($MM)			
	# Of Chambers	1	3
Labor & Benefits		$5.51	$10.94
Mining and Construction			
Equipment Operation		$1.30	$3.89
Supplies		$4.51	$13.35
Precast Concrete Liner		$3.25	$9.75
Other (Outside Contractors)		$0.17	$0.52
30% Contingency		$4.40	$11.48
	TOTAL(2002)	$19.1	$49.93
	TOTAL(2007)	$29.1	$66.1

Costs of 3 detector modules:

Cost Description	Amount	Comment
Development	$3M	Extrapolated from SNO
Procurement/Module	$5M	Water purification, distributution, calibration
Production/Module	$62.1M	For 25% PMT coverage of 11,000 m^2
Total (3 Modules)	$242.7M	Includes 25% contingency



Comparison with Chiaki's efficiency



NB: background contains only NC.

Good agreement at low energy

"T2KK Project and Likelihood study", Fanny Dufour,
U.S. Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment Study Workshop, September 16-17, 2006