Chapter 1 Introduction and Executive Summary

exec-sum-chap

The Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE) will provide a unique, world-leading program for the exploration of key questions at the forefront of particle physics and astrophysics.

Chief among its potential discoveries is that of matter-antimatter symmetry violation in neutrino flavor mixing — a step toward unraveling the mystery of matter generation in the early Universe. Independently, determination of the neutrino mass ordering and precise measurement of neutrino mixing parameters by LBNE may reveal new fundamental symmetries of Nature.

To achieve its ambitious physics objectives as a world-class facility, LBNE has been conceived around three central components:

1. an intense, wide-band neutrino beam
2. a fine-grained *near* neutrino detector just downstream of the neutrino source
3. a massive liquid argon time-projection chamber (LArTPC) deployed as a *far* neutrino detector deep underground, 1,300 km downstream; this distance between the neutrino source and far detector — the *baseline* — is measured along the line of travel through the Earth

The neutrino beam and near detector will be installed at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab), in Batavia, Illinois. The far detector will be installed at the Sanford Underground Research Facility in Lead, South Dakota.

The location of its massive high-resolution far detector deep underground will enable LBNE to significantly expand the search for proton decay as predicted by Grand Unified Theories, as well as study the dynamics of core-collapse supernovae through observation of their neutrino bursts, should any occur in our galaxy during LBNE's operating lifetime.

The near neutrino detector will enable high-precision measurements of neutrino oscillations, thereby enhancing the sensitivity to matter-antimatter symmetry violations and will exploit the potential of high-intensity neutrino beams as probes of new physics.

With its extensively developed design and flexible configuration, LBNE provides a blueprint for an experimental program made even more relevant by recent neutrino mixing parameter measurements.

To achieve the transformative physics goals of LBNE in an era of highly constrained funding for basic research in the U.S., the conceptual design has evolved so as to provide a scalable, phased and global approach, while maintaining a U.S. leadership role as the host for a global facility. International partnerships are being actively pursued to both enhance and accelerate the LBNE Project.

LBNE's primary beamline is designed to operate initially with a beam power of 1.2 MW, upgradable to 2.3 MW. This beamline extracts protons with energies from 60 to 120 GeV from the Fermilab Main Injector. The protons collide with a target to generate a secondary beam of charged particles, which in turn decay to generate the neutrino beam.

The liquid argon TPC far detector technology combines fine-grained tracking with total absorption calorimetry. Installed 4,850 ft underground to minimize backgrounds, this detector will be a powerful tool for long-baseline neutrino oscillation physics and underground physics such as proton decay, supernova neutrinos and atmospheric neutrinos. The far detector design is scalable and flexible, allowing for a phased approach, with an initial fiducial mass of at least 10 kt and a final configuration of at least 34 kt.

A high-precision near detector is planned as a separate facility allowing maximal flexibility in phasing and deployment.

The technologies and configuration of the planned LBNE facilities offer excellent sensitivity to a range of physics processes:

- The muon-neutrino (ν_μ) beam produced at Fermilab with a peak flux at 2.5 GeV, coupled to the baseline of 1,300 km, will present near-optimal sensitivity to neutrino/antineutrino charge-parity (CP) symmetry violation effects.
- The long baseline of LBNE will ensure a large matter-induced asymmetry in the oscillations of neutrinos and antineutrinos, thus providing a clear, unambiguous determination of the mass ordering of the neutrino states.
- The near detector located just downstream of the neutrino beamline at Fermilab will enable high-precision long-baseline oscillation measurements as well as precise measurements and searches for new phenomena on its own using the high-intensity neutrino beam.
- The deep-underground LArTPC far detector will provide superior sensitivities to proton decay modes with kaons in the final states, modes that are favored by many Grand Unified and supersymmetric theoretical models.
- Liquid argon as a target material will provide unique sensitivity to the electron-neutrino (ν_e) component of the initial burst of neutrinos from a core-collapse supernova.
- The excellent energy and directional resolution of the LArTPC will allow novel physics studies with atmospheric neutrinos.

The LBNE physics program has been identified as a priority of the global HEP community for the coming decades. The facilities available in the U.S. are the best suited internationally to carry out this program and the substantially developed LBNE design is at the forefront of technical innovations in the field. Timely implementation of LBNE will significantly advance the global HEP program and assure continued intellectual leadership for the U.S. within this community.

DRAFT

intro-chap

The Standard Model of particle physics describes all of the known fundamental particles and the electroweak and strong forces that, in combination with gravity, govern today's Universe. The observation that neutrinos have mass is one demonstration that the Standard Model is incomplete. By exploring physics beyond the Standard Model, LBNE will address fundamental questions about the Universe:

What is the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe? Immediately after the Big Bang, matter and antimatter were created equally, yet matter now dominates. By studying the properties of neutrino and antineutrino oscillations, LBNE is pursuing the most promising avenue for understanding this asymmetry.

What are the fundamental underlying symmetries of the Universe? Resolution by LBNE of the detailed mixing patterns and ordering of neutrino mass states, and comparisons to the corresponding phenomena in the quark sector, could reveal underlying symmetries that are as yet unknown.

Is there a Grand Unified Theory of the Universe? Experimental evidence hints that the physical forces observed today were unified into one force at the birth of the Universe. Grand Unified Theories (GUTs), which attempt to describe the unification of forces, predict that protons should decay, a process that has never been observed. LBNE will probe proton lifetimes predicted by a wide range of GUT models.

How do supernovae explode? The heavy elements that are the key components of life — such as carbon — were created in the super-hot cores of collapsing stars. LBNE's design will enable it to detect the neutrino burst from core-collapse supernovae. By measuring the time structure and energy spectrum of a neutrino burst, LBNE will be able to elucidate critical information about the dynamics of this special astrophysical phenomenon.

What more can LBNE discover about the Standard Model? The high intensity of the LBNE neutrino beam will provide a unique probe for precision tests of Standard Model processes as well as searches for new physics in unexplored regions.

Results from the last decade, indicating that the three known types of neutrinos have nonzero mass, mix with one another and oscillate between generations, imply physics beyond the Standard Model [42]. Each of the three flavors of neutrinos, ν_e, ν_μ and ν_τ (Figure 2.1), is known to be a different mix of three mass eigenstates ν_1, ν_2 and ν_3 (Figure 2.2). In the Standard Model, the simple Higgs mechanism, which has now been confirmed by the observation of the Higgs boson [43,44], is responsible for both quark and lepton masses, mixing and charge-parity (CP) violation (the mechanism responsible for matter-antimatter asymmetries). However, the small size of neutrino masses and their relatively large mixing bears little resemblance to quark masses and mixing, suggesting that different physics — and possibly different mass scales — in the two sectors may be present, and motivating precision study of mixing and CP violation in the lepton sector.

The relationship between the three mixing angles θ_{12}, θ_{23}, and θ_{13} and the mixing between the neutrino flavor and mass states can be described as follows [45]:

$$\tan^2\theta_{12} \quad : \quad \frac{\text{amount of } \nu_\mathrm{e} \text{ in } \nu_2}{\text{amount of } \nu_\mathrm{e} \text{ in } \nu_1} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\tan^2\theta_{23} \quad : \quad \text{ratio of } \nu_\mu \text{ to } \nu_\tau \text{ in } \nu_3 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{13} \quad : \quad \text{amount of } \nu_\mathrm{e} \text{ in } \nu_3 \tag{2.3}$$

The frequency of neutrino oscillation among the weak-interaction (flavor) eigenstates depends on the difference in the squares of the neutrino masses, $\Delta m^2_{ij} \equiv m_i^2 - m_j^2$; a set of three neutrino mass states implies two independent mass-squared differences (Δm^2_{21} and Δm^2_{32}). The ordering of the mass states is known as the *neutrino mass hierarchy*. An ordering of $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ is known as the *normal hierarchy* since it matches the ordering of the quarks in the Standard Model, whereas an ordering of $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$ is referred to as the *inverted hierarchy*.

Since each flavor eigenstate is a mixture of three mass eigenstates, there can be an overall phase difference between the quantum states, referred to as δ_CP. A nonzero value of this phase implies that neutrinos and antineutrinos oscillate differently — a phenomenon known as charge-parity (CP) violation. δ_CP is therefore often referred to as the *CP phase* or the *CP-violating phase*.

Recent theoretical advances have demonstrated that CP violation, necessary for the generation of the Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe at the GUT scale (baryogenesis), can be directly related to the low-energy CP violation in the lepton sector that could manifest in neutrino oscillations. As an example, the theoretical model described in [68] predicts that leptogenesis, the generation of the analogous lepton asymmetry, can be achieved if

$$|\sin\theta_{13}\sin\delta_{\rm CP}| \gtrsim 0.11 \tag{2.4}$$

This implies $|\sin\delta_{\rm CP}| \gtrsim 0.7$ given the latest global fit value of $|\sin\theta_{13}|$ [69].

The significant impact of the matter effect on the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ and $\overline{\nu}_\mu \to \overline{\nu}_e$ oscillation probabilities at longer baselines (Figures 2.3 and 2.4) implies that ν_e appearance measurements over long distances through the Earth provide a powerful probe into the neutrino mass hierarchy question: is $m_1 > m_3$ or vice-versa?

Studying ν_μ disappearance probes $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ and $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ with very high precision. Disappearance measurements can therefore determine whether ν_μ-ν_τ mixing is maximal or near maximal such that $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$, but they cannot resolve the octant of θ_{23} if ν_μ-ν_τ mixing is less than maximal. Combining the ν_μ disappearance signal with the ν_e appearance signal can help determine the θ_{23} octant and constrain some of the theoretical models of quark-lepton universality.

Searches for proton decay, bound-neutron decay and similar processes such as di-nucleon decay and neutron-antineutron oscillations test the apparent but unexplained conservation law of baryon number. These decays are already known to be rare based on decades of prior searches, all of which have produced negative results. If measurable event rates or even a single-candidate event were to be found, it would be sensible to presume that they occurred via unknown virtual processes based on physics beyond the Standard Model. The impact of demonstrating the existence of a baryon-number-violating process would be profound.

The observation of even a single unambiguous proton decay event would corroborate the idea of unification and the signature of the decay would give strong guidance as to the nature of the underlying theory.

The expected rate of core-collapse supernovae is two to three per century in the Milky Way [108,109]. In a 20-year experimental run, LBNE's probability of observing neutrinos from a core-collapse supernova in the Milky Way is about 40%. The detection of thousands of supernova-burst neutrinos from this event would dramatically expand the science reach of the experiment, allowing observation of the development of the explosion in the star's core and probing the equation-of-state of matter at nuclear densities. In addition, independent measurements of the neutrino mass hierarchy and the θ_{13} mixing angle are possible, as well as additional constraints on physics beyond the Standard Model.

Each of the topics that can be addressed by studying supernova-burst neutrinos represent important outstanding problems in modern physics, each worthy of a separate, dedicated experiment, and the neutrino physics and astrophysics communities would receive payback simultaneously. The opportunity of targeting these topics in a single experiment is very attractive, especially since it may come only at incremental cost to the LBNE Project.

DRAFT

project-chap

The LBNE Project was formed to design and construct the Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment. The experiment will comprise a new, high-intensity neutrino source generated from a megawatt-class proton accelerator at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab) directed at a large far detector at the Sanford Underground Research Facility in Lead, SD. A near detector will be located about 500 m downstream of the neutrino production target. LBNE is currently planned as a phased program, with increased scientific capabilities at each phase.

- The experimental facilities are designed to meet the primary scientific objectives of the experiment: (1) fully characterize neutrino oscillations, including measuring the value of the unknown CP-violating phase, δ_{CP}, and determining the ordering of the neutrino mass states, (2) significantly improve proton decay lifetime limits, and (3) measure the neutrino flux from potential core-collapse supernovae in our galaxy.
- The LBNE beamline, based on the existing *Neutrinos at the Main Injector* (NuMI) beamline design, is designed to deliver a wide-band, high-purity ν_μ beam with a peak flux at 2.5 GeV, which optimizes the oscillation physics potential at the 1,300-km baseline. The beamline will operate initially at 1.2 MW and will be upgradable to 2.3 MW utilizing a proton beam with energy tunable from 60 to 120 GeV.
- The full-scope LBNE far detector is a liquid argon time-projection chamber (LArTPC) of fiducial mass 34 kt.

  The TPC design is modular, allowing flexibility in the choice of initial detector size.
- The LBNE far detector will be located 4,850 feet underground, a depth favorable for LBNE's search for proton decay and detection of the neutrino flux from a core-collapse supernova.
- The high-precision near detector and its conventional facilities can be built as an independent project, at the same time as the far detector and beamline, or later.

The 1,300-km baseline has been determined to provide optimal sensitivity to CP violation and the measurement of δ_{CP}, and is long enough to enable an unambiguous determination of the neutrino mass hierarchy [83].

Bass:2013vcg

Fermilab, located 40 miles west of Chicago, Illinois, is a DOE-funded laboratory dedicated to high energy physics. The laboratory builds and operates accelerators, detectors and other facilities that physicists from all over the world use to carry out forefront research.

Dramatic discoveries in high energy physics have revolutionized our understanding of the interactions of the particles and forces that determine the nature of matter in the Universe. Two major components of the Standard Model of Fundamental Particles and Forces were discovered at Fermilab: the bottom quark (May-June 1977) and the top quark (February 1995). In July 2000, Fermilab experimenters announced the first direct observation of the tau neutrino, thus filling the final slot in the lepton sector of the Standard Model. Run II of the Fermilab Tevatron Collider was inaugurated in March 2001. The Tevatron was the world's highest-energy particle accelerator and collider until the Large Hadron Collider at CERN came online in 2011.

While CERN now hosts the world's highest-energy particle collider, the Fermilab accelerator complex is being retooled to produce the world's highest-intensity beams of protons, muons and neutrinos. Scientists from around the world can exploit this capability to pursue cutting-edge research in the lepton sector of the Standard Model where strong hints of new physics have surfaced.

The beamline and near detector for LBNE will be constructed at Fermilab, referred to as the *Near Site*.

The Sanford Underground Research Facility [119] is a laboratory located on the site of the former Homestake gold mine in Lead, SD that is dedicated to underground science. This laboratory has been selected as the location of the far detector for LBNE, and is referred to as the *Far Site*.

Underground neutrino experiments in the former mine date back to 1967 when nuclear chemist Ray Davis installed a solar neutrino experiment 4,850 feet below the surface [120]. Ray Davis earned a share of the Nobel Prize for physics in 2002 for his experiment, which ran until 1993.

LBNE is envisioned as the next-generation, multi-decade neutrino experiment at this site seeking groundbreaking discoveries.

The LBNE neutrino beamline, located at Fermilab, utilizes a conventional horn-focused neutrino beam produced from pion decay-in-flight, based largely on the highly successful NuMI beamline design:

- The primary beam utilizes 60- to 120-GeV protons from the Main Injector accelerator. The primary beamline is embedded in an engineered earthen embankment — a novel construction concept to reduce costs and improve radiological controls.
- The beamline is designed to operate at 1.2 MW and to support an upgrade to 2.3-MW operation.
- The beamline will generate a wide-band, high-purity beam, selectable for muon neutrinos or muon antineutrinos. Its tunable energies from 60 to 120 GeV will be well matched to the 1,300-km neutrino oscillation baseline.

A high-resolution near neutrino detector located approximately 500 m downstream of the LBNE neutrino production target, as shown in Figure 3.16, is a key component of the full LBNE scientific program:

- The near neutrino detector will enable the LBNE experiment to achieve its primary scientific goals — in particular discovery-level sensitivity to CP violation and high-precision measurements of the neutrino oscillation parameters, including the unknown CP-violating phase, δ_{CP}.
- A rich program of LBNE physics measurements at the near detector will exploit the potential of high-intensity neutrino beams as probes of new physics.

The full-scope LBNE far detector is a liquid argon time-projection chamber of fiducial mass 34 kt located at the 4,850-ft level of the Sanford Underground Research Facility. The LArTPC technology allows for high-precision identification of neutrino flavors, offers excellent sensitivity to proton decay modes with kaons in the final state and provides unique sensitivity to electron neutrinos from a core-collapse supernova. The full detector size and its location at a depth of 4,850 feet will enable LBNE to meet the primary scientific goals — in particular, to find evidence for CP violation over a large range of δ_{CP} values, and to significantly advance proton-decay lifetime limits. Conceptual designs of the 34-kt underground detector are well developed.

- The far detector for the initial phase of LBNE will have fiducial mass of *at least* 10 kt. This mass allows for high probability determination of the neutrino mass hierarchy and can provide evidence for CP violation, if this effect is large.
- The detector needs to be located deep underground to provide sensitivity for proton decay searches in the kaon modes and for measuring neutrinos from potential supernovae in the galaxy.
- A conceptual design for a 10-kt LArTPC has been developed, thoroughly reviewed and found to be sound.
- LBNE is working with international partners in an effort to deploy a more massive far detector in the initial phase.

DRAFT

nu-oscil-chap

LBNE is designed to address the science of neutrino oscillations with superior sensitivity to many mixing parameters in a single experiment, in particular,

1. precision measurements of the parameters that govern $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ and $\overline{\nu}_\mu \to \overline{\nu}_e$ oscillations; this includes precision measurement of the third mixing angle θ_{13}, measurement of the CP-violating phase $\delta_{\rm CP}$, and determination of the mass ordering (the sign of Δm^2_{32})
2. precision measurements of $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ and $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ in the $\nu_\mu/\overline{\nu}_\mu$ disappearance channel
3. determination of the θ_{23} octant using combined precision measurements of the $\nu_e/\overline{\nu}_e$ appearance and $\nu_\mu/\overline{\nu}_\mu$ disappearance channels
4. search for nonstandard physics that can manifest itself as differences in higher-precision measurements of ν_μ and $\overline{\nu}_\mu$ oscillations over long baselines

LBNE will be definitive in its ability to discriminate between normal and inverted mass hierarchy for the allowed range of unknown parameters such as $\delta_{\rm CP}$ and $\sin^2\theta_{23}$. To assess the sensitivity of LBNE to this physics, particularly for the case of less favorable parameter values, detailed understanding of statistical significance is essential.

At the true values of $\delta_{\rm CP}$ for which the mass hierarchy asymmetry is maximally offset by the leptonic CP asymmetry, LBNE's sensitivity to the mass hierarchy is at its minimum. Even in this case, with a 34-kt LArTPC operating for six years in a 1.2-MW beam, the $|\Delta\chi^2|$ value obtained in a typical data set will exceed 25, allowing LBNE on its own to rule out the incorrect mass ordering at a confidence level above $1-3.7\times10^{-6}$. Considering fluctuations, LBNE will measure, in $\geq 97.5\%$ of all possible data sets for this least favorable scenario, a value of $|\Delta\chi^2|$ equal to 9 or higher, which corresponds to a $\geq 99\%$ probability of ruling out the incorrect hierarchy hypothesis.

Assuming the normal hierarchy, the most recent global fit of experimental data for the three-neutrino paradigm favors a value of δ_{CP} close to $-\pi/2$ with $\sin\delta_{\mathrm{CP}} < 0$ at a confidence level of $\sim 90\%$ [69] (Figure 4.15). LBNE alone with a 10-kt detector and six years of running would resolve with $\geq 3\sigma$ precision the question of whether CP is violated for the currently favored value of δ_{CP}. With a 34-kt detector running for six years, LBNE, alone will achieve a precision approaching 6σ.

With sufficient exposure, LBNE can resolve the θ_{23} octant with $> 3\sigma$ significance even if θ_{23} is within a few degrees of $45°$, the value at which the mixing between the ν_μ and ν_τ neutrino states is maximal.

Atmospheric neutrinos are unique among sources used to study oscillations: the flux contains neutrinos and antineutrinos of all flavors, matter effects play a significant role, both Δm^2 values contribute, and the oscillation phenomenology occurs over several orders of magnitude each in energy (Figure 2.8) and path length. These characteristics make atmospheric neutrinos ideal for the study of oscillations (in principle sensitive to all of the remaining unmeasured quantities in the PMNS matrix) and provide a laboratory in which to search for exotic phenomena for which the dependence of the flavor-transition and survival probabilities on energy and path length can be defined. The large LBNE LArTPC far detector, placed at sufficient depth to shield against cosmic-ray background, provides a unique opportunity to study atmospheric neutrino interactions with excellent energy and path-length resolutions.

In the region of δ_{CP} where the LBNE neutrino-beam-only analysis is least sensitive to the mass hierarchy, atmospheric neutrinos measured in the same experiment offer comparable sensitivity. The combined beam and atmospheric neutrino sensitivity to the mass hierarchy is $|\sqrt{\Delta\chi^2}| > 6$ for all values of δ_{CP} ($\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.4$) in a 34-kt detector, assuming a 1.2-MW beam running for ten years. It is important to note that the combined sensitivity is better than the sum of the separate $\Delta\chi^2$ values, as the atmospheric data help to remove degeneracies in the beam data.

Due to the very small masses and large mixing of neutrinos, their oscillations over a long distance act as an exquisitely precise interferometer with high sensitivity to very small perturbations caused by new physics phenomena, such as:

- nonstandard interactions in matter that manifest in long-baseline oscillations as deviations from the three-flavor mixing model
- new long-distance potentials arising from discrete symmetries that manifest as small perturbations on neutrino and antineutrino oscillations over a long baseline
- sterile neutrino states that mix with the three known active neutrino states
- large compactified extra dimensions from String Theory models that manifest through mixing between the Kaluza-Klein states and the three active neutrino states

Full exploitation of LBNE's sensitivity to such new phenomena will require higher-precision predictions of the unoscillated neutrino flux at the far detector and large exposures.

With tight control of systematics, LBNE will reach 5σ sensitivity to CP violation for a large fraction of δ_{CP} values. LBNE delivers the best resolution of the value of δ_{CP} with the lowest combination of power-on-target and far detector mass when compared to other future proposed neutrino oscillation experiments (Figure 4.33).

DRAFT

pdk-chap

Baryon number conservation is an unexplained symmetry in the Universe with deep connections to both cosmology and particle physics. As one of the conditions underlying the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe, baryon number *should* be violated. Nucleon decay, which is a manifestation of baryon number violation, is a hallmark of many Grand Unified Theories (GUTs), theories that connect quarks and leptons in ways not envisioned by the Standard Model. Observation of proton or bound-neutron decay would provide a clear experimental signature of baryon number violation.

Predicted rates for nucleon decay based on GUTs are uncertain but cover a range directly accessible with the next generation of large underground detectors. LBNE, configured with its massive, deep-underground LArTPC far detector, offers unique opportunities for the discovery of nucleon decay, with sensitivity to key decay channels an order of magnitude beyond that of the current generation of experiments.

The LBNE LArTPC's superior detection efficiencies for decay modes that produce kaons will outweigh its relatively low mass compared with multi-hundred-kiloton water Cherenkov detectors. Because the LArTPC can reconstruct protons that are below Cherenkov threshold, it can reject many atmospheric-neutrino background topologies by vetoing on the presence of a recoil proton. Due to its excellent spatial resolution, it also performs better for event topologies with displaced vertices, such as $p \to K^+\overline{\nu}$ (for multi-particle K^+ decay topologies) and $p \to K^0\mu^+$. The latter mode is preferred in some SUSY GUTs.

fig:kdklimit

Figure 5.4 demonstrates that to improve the current limits on the $p \to \overline{\nu}K^+$, set by Super–Kamiokande, significantly beyond that experiment's sensitivity, a LArTPC detector of at least 10 kt, installed deep underground, is needed. A 34-kt detector will improve the current limits by an order of magnitude after running for two decades. Clearly a larger detector mass would improve the limits even more in that span of time.

DRAFT

sn-chap

Neutrinos emitted in the first few seconds of a core-collapse supernova carry with them the potential for great insight into the mechanisms behind some of the most spectacular events that have played key roles in the evolution of the Universe. Collection and analysis of this high-statistics neutrino signal from a supernova within our galaxy would provide a rare opportunity to witness the energy and flavor development of the burst as a function of time. This would in turn shed light on the astrophysics of the collapse as well as on neutrino properties.

A number of astrophysical phenomena associated with supernovae are expected to be observable in the supernova-neutrino signal, providing a remarkable window into the event, for example:

- The initial burst, primarily composed of ν_e and called the *neutronization* or *breakout* burst, represents only a small component of the total signal. However, oscillation effects can manifest in an observable manner in this burst, and flavor transformations can be modified by the *halo* of neutrinos generated in the supernova envelope by scattering [209].
- The formation of a black hole would cause a sharp signal cutoff (e.g., [210,211]).
- Shock wave effects (e.g., [212]) would cause a time-dependent change in flavor and spectral composition as the shock wave propagates.
- The standing accretion shock instability (SASI) [213,214], a *sloshing* mode predicted by 3D neutrino-hydrodynamics simulations of supernova cores, would give an oscillatory flavor-dependent modulation of the flux.
- Turbulence effects [215,216] would also cause flavor-dependent spectral modification as a function of time.

LBNE, with its high-resolution LArTPC far detector, is uniquely sensitive to the ν_e component of the neutrino flux from a core-collapse supernova within our galaxy. The ν_e component of the neutrino flux dominates the initial neutronization burst of the supernova. Preliminary studies indicate that such a supernova at a distance of 10 kpc would produce $\sim$3,000 events in a 34-kt LArTPC. The time dependence of the signal will allow differentiation between different neutrino-driven core-collapse dynamical models, and will exhibit a discernible dependence on the neutrino mass hierarchy.

A low energy threshold of $\sim$ 5 MeV will enable the detector to extract the rich information available from the ν_e supernova flux. LBNE's photon detection system is being designed to provide a high-efficiency trigger for supernova events. Careful design and quality control of the detector materials will minimize low-energy background from radiological contaminants.

DRAFT

nd-physics-chap

The LBNE near neutrino detector provides scientific value beyond its essential role of calibrating beam and neutrino interaction properties for the long-baseline physics program described in Chapter 4. By virtue of the theoretically clean, purely weak leptonic processes involved, neutrino beams have historically served as unique probes for new physics in their interactions with matter. The high intensity and broad energy range of the LBNE beam will open the door for a highly capable near detector to perform its own diverse program of incisive investigations.

Neutrinos and antineutrinos are the most effective probes for investigating electroweak physics. Interest in a precise determination of the weak mixing angle ($\sin^2\theta_W$) at LBNE energies via neutrino scattering is twofold: (1) it provides a direct measurement of neutrino couplings to the Z boson and (2) it probes a different scale of momentum transfer than LEP did by virtue of not being at the Z boson mass peak.

The strange-quark content of the proton and its contribution to the proton spin remain enigmatic [260]. The question is whether the strange quarks contribute substantially to the vector and axial-vector currents of the nucleon. A large observed value of the strange-quark contribution to the nucleon spin (axial current), Δs, would enhance our understanding of the proton structure.

The spin structure of the nucleon also affects the couplings of axions and supersymmetric particles to dark matter.

Precision measurements of (anti)neutrino differential cross sections in the LBNE near detector will provide additional constraints on several key nucleon structure functions that are complementary to results from electron scattering experiments.

In addition, these measurements would directly improve LBNE's oscillation measurements by providing accurate simulation of neutrino interactions in the far detector and offer an estimate of all background processes that are dependent upon the angular distribution of the outgoing particles in the far detector. Furthermore, certain QCD analyses — i.e., global fits used for extraction of parton distribution functions (PDFs) via the differential cross sections measured in ND data — would constrain the systematic error in precision electroweak measurements. This would apply not only in neutrino physics but also in hadron collider measurements.

One of the most compelling physics topics accessible to LBNE's high-resolution near detector is the isospin physics using neutrino and antineutrino interactions. This physics involves the Adler sum rule and tests isospin (charge) symmetry in nucleons and nuclei.

The most economical way to handle the problems of neutrino masses, dark matter and the Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in a unified way may be to add to the Standard Model (SM) three Majorana singlet fermions with masses roughly on the order of the masses of known quarks and leptons using the seesaw mechanism [67]. The appealing feature of this theory (called the νMSM for *Neutrino Minimal SM*) [285] is that every left-handed fermion has a right-handed counterpart, leading to a consistent way of treating quarks and leptons.

The most efficient mechanism proposed for producing these heavy sterile singlet states experimentally is through weak decays of heavy mesons and baryons, as can be seen from the left-hand diagram in Figure 7.4, showing some examples of relevant two- and three-body decays [286]. These heavy mesons can be produced by energetic protons scattering off the LBNE neutrino production target and the heavy singlet neutrinos from their decays detected in the near detector.

Given a roughly 500-m baseline and a low-energy beam, the LBNE ND can reach the same value $L/E_\nu \sim 1$ as MiniBooNE and LSND. The large fluxes and the availability of fine-grained detectors make the LBNE program well suited to search for active-sterile neutrino oscillations beyond the three-flavor model with Δm^2 at the eV^2 scale.

Recently, a great deal of interest has been paid to the possibility of studying models of light (sub-GeV) Dark Matter at low-energy, fixed-target experiments [303,304,305,306]. High-flux neutrino beam experiments — such as LBNE — have been shown to potentially provide coverage of DM+mediator parameter space that cannot be covered by either direct detection or collider experiments.

Batell:2009di,deNiverville:2011

DRAFT

DRAFT

hap-other-goals

The deep underground location of LBNE's LArTPC far detector will expand the range of science opportunities it can pursue to potentially include observation of solar and other low-energy neutrinos, dark matter, magnetic monopoles and nucleon-antinucleon transitions.

Detection of solar and other low-energy neutrinos is challenging in a LArTPC because of high intrinsic detection energy thresholds for the charged-current (CC) interaction on argon ($>$5 MeV). To be competitive, this physics requires either a very low visible-energy threshold ($\sim$1 MeV) or a very large mass (50 kt). However, compared with other technologies, a LArTPC offers a large cross section and unique signatures from de-excitation photons. Aggressive R&D efforts in low-energy triggering and control of background from radioactive elements may make detection in LBNE possible, and a large detector mass would make the pursuit of these measurements worthwhile.

The LBNE far detector's large mass and directional tracking capabilities will enable it to act as a *neutrino telescope* and search for neutrino signals produced by annihilations of dark matter particles in the Sun and/or the core of the Earth. Detection of high-energy neutrinos coming exclusively from the Sun's direction, for example, would provide clear evidence of dark matter annihilation [320].

Cirelli:2005gh

A liquid argon detector such as LBNE's far detector is sensitive to the ν_e component of the diffuse relic supernova-neutrino flux, whereas water Cherenkov and scintillator detectors are sensitive to the $\overline{\nu}_e$ component. However, backgrounds in liquid argon are as yet unknown, and a huge exposure ($>$500 kt $\cdot$ years) would likely be required for observation. Given a detector of the scale required to achieve these exposures (50 kt to 100 kt) together with tight control of backgrounds, LBNE — in the long term — could play a unique and complementary role in the physics of relic neutrinos.

DRAFT

conclusion-chap

With DOE CD-1 ("Alternate Selection and Cost Range") approval in hand, the LBNE Project is working toward its technical design specifications, including detailed costs and schedule, in preparation for CD-2 ("Performance Baseline"). It should be noted that the Project already has fully developed schedules for both the CD-1 scope (10-kt far detector on the surface at the Sanford Underground Research Facility, no near neutrino detector), and for the full-scope (34-kt far detector located deep underground and near neutrino detector) for the scenario of funding solely from DOE. Partnerships with non-DOE groups are being sought to enable the construction of LBNE with a near neutrino detector and an underground far detector mass greater than 10 kt in the first phase.

Using the current understanding of DOE funding profiles, we outline one plausible long-term timeline that integrates evolution of LBNE detector mass with development of the Fermilab accelerator complex (i.e., PIP-II) and contributions from non-DOE partners. Implicit in this timeline is an assumption that agreements with new partners be put in place on a timescale of three years (by 2017). In this scenario, the milestones that bear on the physics are as follows:

1. LBNE begins operation in 2025 with a 1.2-MW beam and a 15-kt far detector. (In such a scenario, a significant fraction of the far detector mass might be provided in the form of a standalone LArTPC module developed, funded, and constructed by international partners.)
2. Data are recorded for five years, for a net exposure of 90 kt · MW · year.
3. In 2030, the LBNE far detector mass is increased to 34 kt, and proton beam power is increased to 2.3 MW.
4. By 2035, after five years of additional running, a net exposure of 490 kt · MW · year is attained.

The measurement of the neutrino mass hierarchy and search for CP violation in LBNE will further clarify the pattern of mixing and mass ordering in the lepton sector and its relation to the patterns in the quark sector. The impact of exposures of $90\,\mathrm{kt}\cdot\mathrm{MW}\cdot\mathrm{year}$ (2030) and $490\,\mathrm{kt}\cdot\mathrm{MW}\cdot\mathrm{year}$ (2035) for Mass Hierarchy and CP-violation signatures is easily extracted from Figure 4.16. Should CP be violated through neutrino mixing effects, the typical signal in LBNE establishing this would have a significance of at least three (2030) and five standard deviations (2035), respectively for 50% of δ_{CP} values (and greater than three standard deviations for nearly 75% of δ_{CP} by 2035). In such a scenario, the mass hierarchy can be resolved with a sensitivity for a typical experiment of $\sqrt{\overline{\Delta\chi^2}} \geq 6$ for 50% (100%) of δ_{CP} by 2030 (2035).

LBNE represents a world-class U.S.-based effort to address the science of neutrinos with technologically advanced experimental techniques. By anchoring the U.S. Intensity Frontier program [348], LBNE provides a platform around which to grow and sustain core infrastructure for the community. Development of the Fermilab accelerator systems, in particular, will not only advance progress toward achieving the science goals of LBNE, it will also greatly expand the capability of Fermilab to host other key experimental programs at the Intensity Frontier.

Understanding the fundamental nature of fermion flavor, the existence of CP violation in the lepton sector and how this relates to the Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe; knowing whether proton decay occurs and how; and elucidating the dynamics of supernova explosions all stand among the grand scientific questions of our times. The bold approach adopted for LBNE provides the most rapid and cost-effective means of addressing these questions. With the support of the global HEP community, the vision articulated in this document can be realized in a way that maintains the level of excitement for particle physics and the inspirational impact it has in the U.S. and worldwide.

app-sim

35

DRAFT

DRAFT

app-dis

36

DRAFT

DRAFT

acknowl

This report is the result of an initial collaboration-wide effort to prepare a whitepaper for the APS Division of Particles and Fields Community Summer Study 2013 [1]. The paper has evolved into LBNE's formal science document due to the hard work of many LBNE Collaboration and Project members. We thank those colleagues who made significant contributions and provided excellent feedback on drafts of this document. The following is a nonexhaustive list of LBNE collaborators who made major contributions to this document. A major contribution is defined as $\geq$ a section and/or a study reported in a figure prepared for this document.

Contribution	LBNE Collaborator(s)
Overall editing	***Anne Heavey, Mary Bishai, Jon Urheim, Brett Viren, Maury Goodman***
Technical/Figures	Brett Viren
Original content	*Bob Wilson (Editor of the 2010 Interim Physics Report)*
General Guidance	Milind Diwan, Bob Wilson, Jim Strait, Sam Zeller, Bill Louis, Hank Sobel, Josh Klein, Nick Samios
Major Contributors by Chapter. A * indicates the chapter editor(s)	
Chapter 1	Jon Urheim*, Jim Strait, Bob Wilson
Chapter 2	Mary Bishai*, Elizabeth Worcester*, Jon Urheim, Kate Scholberg, Ed Kearns, Bill Marciano, Xin Qian.
Chapter 3	Mary Bishai*, Jim Strait, Elaine McCluskey, Kevin Lesko, Jim Stewart, Vaia Papadimitriou, Kevin Yarritu, Bill Louis
Chapter 4	Elizabeth Worcester*, Mary Bishai*, Matt Bass, Andy Blake, Xin Qian, Jon Urheim, Lisa Whitehead
Chapter 5	Ed Kearns*, Jon Urheim*, Vitaly Kudryavtsev
Chapter 6	Kate Scholberg*, Vic Gehman, Alex Friedland
Chapter 7	Roberto Petti*, Sanjib Mishra, Jaehoon Yu, Richard Van de Water
Chapter 8	Michael Smy*, Mary Bishai*, Jaehoon Yu
Chapter 9	Jon Urheim*, Jim Strait
Appendix A	Dan Cherdack*, Tom Junk*, Andy Blake, Vitaly Kudryavtsev, Zepeng Li, Kate Scholberg
Appendix B	Mary Bishai*, Roberto Petti

We would also like to express our gratitude to the following non-LBNE collaborators who supplied us with invaluable information: **Elke Aschenauer (Brookhaven Lab)**, the main editor of the Electron Ion Collider (EIC) whitepaper [358] which inspired the look and style of this document; **Joachim Kopp (Max-Planck Institute, Heidelberg)** for his study of LBNE's sensitivity

to Non-Standard Interactions summarized in Section 4.7.1; **Pilar Coloma (Virginia Tech)** for her studies comparing LBNE's sensitivities to other proposed neutrino experiments shown in Figures 4.33 and 4.35; **Patrick Huber (Virginia Tech)** for a long and fruitful collaborative effort, and his critical role in developing the case for a very long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment over the past decade; **JJ Cherry (LANL)** and **Huaiyu Duan (U. of New Mexico)** for major input on the supernova studies shown in Chapter 6; **Dmitry Gorbunov (Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow)** for his studies on LBNE sensitivities to νMSM heavy neutrinos shown in Figure 7.5; **Diana Brandonisio (Fermilab VMS)**, our graphic designer for her gorgeous cover design and invaluable design advice.

And last, but most importantly, our effusive thanks to **Anne Heavey** (AKA the **FIXME** monster) — our devoted general editor — for her dogged insistence on clarity and quality, her hard work well above and beyond the call of duty, and for being such an absolute pleasure to work with.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Sanford Underground Research Facility and the South Dakota Science and Technology Authority (SDSTA); the Brazilian Federal Agency for the Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES), the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq); the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC); the Italian government's Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN); the Indian Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) and the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science and Technology.

REFERENCES

1. "APS Division of Particles and Fields Community Summer Study 2013," 2013. `http://www.snowmass2013.org`. Cited in Sections 1.1 (pg.4), 1.2.1 (pg.6), and B (pg.235).
2. M. Diwan and C. Jung, "Next generation nucleon decay and neutrino detector. Proceedings, Workshop, NNN99, Stony Brook, USA, September 23-25, 1999," 2000. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
3. W. J. Marciano, "Extra long baseline neutrino oscillations and CP violation," BNL-HET-01-31, `arXiv:hep-ph/0108181 [hep-ph]`, 2001. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
4. R. Shrock, "Neutrinos and implications for physics beyond the standard model. Proceedings, Conference, Stony Brook, USA, October 11-13, 2002," 2003. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
5. M. Diwan, W. Marciano, W. Weng, D. Beavis, M. Brennan, *et al.*, "Report of the BNL neutrino working group: Very long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment for precise determination of oscillation parameters and search for nu mu –> nu e appearance and CP violation," BNL-69395, `arXiv:hep-ex/0211001 [hep-ex]`, 2002. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).

DRAFT

6. M. Diwan, D. Beavis, M.-C. Chen, J. Gallardo, S. Kahn, *et al.*, "Very long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments for precise measurements of mixing parameters and CP violating effects," *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 012002, `arXiv:hep-ph/0303081 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
7. W. Weng, M. Diwan, D. Raparia, J. Alessi, D. Barton, *et al.*, "The AGS-Based Super Neutrino Beam Facility Conceptual Design Report," BNL-73210-2004-IR, 2004. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
8. M. Diwan, S. H. Kettell, L. Littenberg, W. Marciano, Z. Parsa, *et al.*, "Proposal for an Experimental Program in Neutrino Physics and Proton Decay in the Homestake Laboratory," BNL-76798-2006-IR, `arXiv:hep-ex/0608023 [hep-ex]`, 2006. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
9. V. Barger, M. Bishai, D. Bogert, C. Bromberg, A. Curioni, *et al.*, "Report of the US long baseline neutrino experiment study," FERMILAB-0801-AD-E, BNL-77973-2007-IR, `arXiv:0705.4396 [hep-ph]`, 2007. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
10. N. R. C. Neutrino Facilities Assessment Committee, *Neutrinos and Beyond: New Windows on Nature*. The National Academies Press, 2003. ISBN 0-309-08716-3. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
11. Interagency Working Group on the Physics of the Universe. National Science and Technology Council Committee on Science, "A 21st Century Frontier of Discovery: The Physics of the Universe, a Strategic Plan for Federal Research at the Intersection of Physics and Astronomy.". February, 2004. `http://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/Physics_of_the_Universe.pdf`. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
12. N. R. C. Committee on Elementary Particle Physics in the 21st Century, *Revealing the Hidden Nature of Space and Time: Charting the Course for Elementary Particle Physics*. The National Academies Press, 2006. ISBN 0-309-66039-4. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
13. Neutrino Scientific Assessment Group, "Recommendations to the Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation on a Future U.S. Program in Neutrino Oscillations. Report to the Nuclear Science Advisory Committee and the High Energy Physics Advisory Board.". July, 2007. `http://science.energy.gov/~/media/hep/pdf/files/pdfs/nusagfinalreportjuly13_2007.pdf`. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
14. Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel, "U.S. Particle Physics: Scientific opportunities, a plan for the next ten years.". May, 2008. `http://science.energy.gov/~/media/hep/pdf/files/pdfs/p5_report_06022008.pdf`. Cited in Sections 1.2 (pg.5), 3.1 (pg.46), and 3.2 (pg.48).
15. Ad Hoc Committee to Assess the Science Proposed for a Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory (DUSEL); National Research Council, *An Assessment of the Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory*. The National Academies Press, 2012. ISBN 978-0-309-21723-1. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).

DRAFT

16. HEPAP Facilities Subpanel, "Major High Energy Physics Facilities 2014-2024. Input to the prioritization of proposed scientific user facilities for the Office of Science.". March, 2013. http://science.energy.gov/~/media/hep/hepap/pdf/Reports/HEPAP_facilities_letter_report.pdf. Cited in Section 1.2 (pg.5).
17. CERN Council, "The European Strategy for Particle Physics, Update 2013.". CERN-Council-S/106, May, 2013. http://council.web.cern.ch/council/en/EuropeanStrategy/esc-e-106.pdf. Cited in Sections 1.2 (pg.5) and 1.2.3 (pg.7).
18. DOE Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, "Mission Need Statement for a Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE)," DOE, LBNE-doc-6259, 2009. Cited in Sections 1.2.1 (pg.6) and 3.1 (pg.47).
19. A. S. Kronfeld, R. S. Tschirhart, U. Al-Binni, W. Altmannshofer, C. Ankenbrandt, *et al.*, "Project X: Physics Opportunities," FERMILAB-TM-2557, BNL-101116-2013-BC-81834, JLAB-ACP-13-1725, UASLP-IF-13-001, SLAC-R-1029, ANL-PHY-13-2, PNNL-22523, LBNL-6334E, arXiv:1306.5009 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Section 1.2.1 (pg.6).
20. A. de Gouvea *et al.*, **Intensity Frontier Neutrino Working Group** , "Neutrinos," FERMILAB-CONF-13-479-E, arXiv:1310.4340 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Sections 1.2.1 (pg.6) and 2.2 (pg.23).
21. K. Babu, E. Kearns, U. Al-Binni, S. Banerjee, D. Baxter, *et al.*, "Baryon Number Violation," arXiv:1311.5285 [hep-ph], 2013. Cited in Section 1.2.1 (pg.6).
22. Derwent, P. and others, "Proton Improvement Plan II," Project X-doc-1232, November, 2013. Cited in Sections 1.2.1 (pg.6), 3.2 (pg.51), and 3.4 (pg.63).
23. S. Holmes, R. Alber, B. Chase, K. Gollwitzer, D. Johnson, *et al.*, "Project X: Accelerator Reference Design," FERMILAB-TM-2557, BNL-101116-2013-BC-81834, JLAB-ACP-13-1725, PNNL-22523, SLAC-R-1020, UASLP-IF-13-001, arXiv:1306.5022 [physics.acc-ph], 2013. Cited in Sections 1.2.1 (pg.6), 3.2 (pg.51), and 4.2.1 (pg.88).
24. "Final Report, Director's Independent Conceptual Design and CD-1 Readiness Review of the LBNE Project," LBNE-doc-5788, March, 2012. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7), 3.6 (pg.76), and 3.6.2 (pg.79).
25. Y. K. Kim *et al.*, "LBNE Reconfiguration: Steering Committee Report," 2012. http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/lbne_reconfiguration/index.shtml. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7), 1.3 (pg.9), 4.2.1 (pg.86), and 9.3 (pg.210).
26. "Department of Energy Review Committee Report on the Technical, Cost, Schedule, and Management Review of the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE)," October, 2012. http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/OPMO/Projects/LBNE/DOERev/2012/10_30/1210_LBNE_rpt.pdf. Cited in Section 1.2.2 (pg.7).
27. "Independent Cost Review Closeout for the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE) Project," LBNE-doc-6522, November, 2012. Cited in Section 1.2.2 (pg.7).

DRAFT

28. "Critical Decision 1 Approve Alternative Selection and Cost Range of the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE) Project," LBNE-doc-6681, December, 2012. Cited in Section 1.2.2 (pg.7).
29. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 1: The LBNE Project," LBNE-doc-5235, 2012. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7), 4.2.2 (pg.88), 4.3 (pg.92), 4.3 (pg.95), 4.6 (pg.124), and A (pg.213).
30. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 2: The Beamline at the Near Site," LBNE-doc-4317, 2012. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7), 3.4 (pg.63), and 4.3 (pg.93).
31. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 3: Detectors at the Near Site," LBNE-doc-4724, 2012. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7) and 3.5 (pg.73).
32. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 4: The Liquid Argon Detector at the Far Site," LBNE-doc-4892, 2012. Cited in Sections 1.2.2 (pg.7) and 3.6.1 (pg.77).
33. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 5: Conventional Facilities at the Near Site (MI-10 Shallow)," LBNE-doc-4623, 2012. Cited in Section 1.2.2 (pg.7).
34. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report, Volume 6: Conventional Facilities at the Far Site," LBNE-doc-5017, 2012. Cited in Section 1.2.2 (pg.7).
35. R. J. Wilson, "Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment, presentation, November 2013," 2013. https://indico.fnal.gov/getFile.py/access?contribId=25&sessionId=7&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=7485. Cited in Section 1.2.3 (pg.8).
36. Marx-Reichanadter Committee, "Department of Energy Office of Science Review of Options for Underground Science.". June, 2011. http://science.energy.gov/~/media/np/pdf/review_of_underground_science_report_final.pdf. Cited in Section 1.3 (pg.9).
37. Grannis, P. and Green, D. and Nishikawa, K. and Robertson, H. and Sadoulet, B. and Wark, D., "The LBNE Science Capability Review," LBNE-doc-5333, December, 2011. Cited in Section 1.3 (pg.9).
38. M. Messier, **NOvA Collaboration** , "Extending the NOvA Physics Program," FERMILAB-CONF-13-308-E, arXiv:1308.0106 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Sections 1.3.1 (pg.10) and 4.8 (pg.136).
39. P. Huber and J. Kopp, "Two experiments for the price of one? – The role of the second oscillation maximum in long baseline neutrino experiments," *JHEP* **1103** (2011) 013, arXiv:1010.3706 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 1.3.1 (pg.13).
40. E. Kearns, "Future Experiments for Proton Decay. Presentation at ISOUPS (International Symposium: Opportunities in Underground Physics for Snowmass), Asilomar, May 2013," 2013. Cited in Sections 1.3.2 (pg.13), 5.1 (pg.139), 5.1 (pg.140), and 5.2 (pg.141).

DRAFT

41. J. Strait, "Physics Research Goals After Reconfiguration," LBNE-doc-3056, 2011. Cited in Section 2.1 (pg.18).
42. R. Mohapatra, S. Antusch, K. Babu, G. Barenboim, M.-C. Chen, *et al.*, "Theory of neutrinos: A White paper," *Rept.Prog.Phys.* **70** (2007) 1757–1867, arXiv:hep-ph/0510213 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 2.2 (pg.21) and 2.2 (pg.23).
43. G. Aad *et al.*, **ATLAS Collaboration** , "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.21).
44. S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, **CMS Collaboration** , "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.21).
45. DNP/DPF/DAP/DPB Joint Study on the Future of Neutrino Physics, "The Neutrino Matrix.". November, 2004. http://www.aps.org/policy/reports/multidivisional/neutrino/upload/main.pdf. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.22).
46. F. An *et al.*, **Daya Bay Collaboration** , "Improved Measurement of Electron Antineutrino Disappearance at Daya Bay," *Chin. Phys.* **C37** (2013) 011001, arXiv:1210.6327 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.22).
47. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, **LSND Collaboration** , "Evidence for neutrino oscillations from the observation of anti-neutrino(electron) appearance in a anti-neutrino(muon) beam," *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 112007, arXiv:hep-ex/0104049 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).
48. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , "A Search for electron neutrino appearance at the $\Delta m^2 \sim 1\text{eV}^2$ scale," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98** (2007) 231801, arXiv:0704.1500 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).
49. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , "Improved Search for $\overline{\nu_\mu} \to \overline{\nu_e}$ Oscillations in the MiniBooNE Experiment," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** no. 16, (2013) 161801, arXiv:1207.4809 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).
50. G. Mention, M. Fechner, T. Lasserre, T. Mueller, D. Lhuillier, *et al.*, "The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly," *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 073006, arXiv:1101.2755 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).
51. S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu, and M. Tanimoto, "Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment," arXiv:1402.4271 [hep-ph], 2014. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).
52. P. Harrison, D. Perkins, and W. Scott, "Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data," *Phys.Lett.* **B530** (2002) 167, arXiv:hep-ph/0202074 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section $*$ (pg.23).
53. C. H. Albright and M.-C. Chen, "Model Predictions for Neutrino Oscillation Parameters," *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 113006, arXiv:hep-ph/0608137 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2 (pg.23).

DRAFT

54. G. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo, *et al.*, "Global analysis of neutrino masses, mixings and phases: entering the era of leptonic CP violation searches," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 013012, `arXiv:1205.5254 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Sections † (pg.24), 2.2.1 (pg.25), 4.3 (pg.92), 4.3 (pg.93), 4.3.2 (pg.110), and 4.4 (pg.117).
55. J. Beringer *et al.*, **Particle Data Group** , "Review of Particle Physics (RPP)," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 010001. Cited in Sections 2.2.1 (pg.25), 2.2.2 (pg.27), and 7.4.4 (pg.183).
56. C. Jarlskog, "A Basis Independent Formulation of the Connection Between Quark Mass Matrices, CP Violation and Experiment," *Z.Phys.* **C29** (1985) 491–497. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.24).
57. A. Meroni, S. Petcov, and M. Spinrath, "A SUSY SU(5)xT' Unified Model of Flavour with large θ_{13}," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 113003, `arXiv:1205.5241 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
58. G.-J. Ding, S. F. King, and A. J. Stuart, "Generalised CP and A_4 Family Symmetry," *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 006, `arXiv:1307.4212`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
59. C. Luhn, "Trimaximal TM_1 neutrino mixing in S_4 with spontaneous CP violation," *Nucl.Phys.* **B875** (2013) 80–100, `arXiv:1306.2358 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Sections 2.2.1 (pg.25) and 2.2.6 (pg.35).
60. G.-J. Ding and Y.-L. Zhou, "Predicting Lepton Flavor Mixing from $\Delta(48)$ and Generalized CP Symmetries," `arXiv:1312.5222 [hep-ph]`, 2013. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
61. S. Antusch, S. F. King, and M. Spinrath, "Spontaneous CP violation in $A_4 \times SU(5)$ with Constrained Sequential Dominance 2," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** no. 9, (2013) 096018, `arXiv:1301.6764 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
62. S. F. King, "A model of quark and lepton mixing," *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 119, `arXiv:1311.3295 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
63. E. Kolb and M. Turner, *The Early Universe*. Westview Press, 1994. ISBN 978-0201626742. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
64. S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*. Oxford University Press, USA, first ed., April, 2008. ISBN 978-0198526827. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
65. G. Steigman, "Primordial Nucleosynthesis in the Precision Cosmology Era," *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **57** (2007) 463–491, `arXiv:0712.1100 [astro-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
66. M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, "Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification," *Phys.Lett.* **B174** (1986) 45. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.25).
67. T. Yanagida, "Horizontal Symmetry and Masses of Neutrinos," *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **64** (1980) 1103. Cited in Sections 2.2.1 (pg.25), 7.7 (pg.187), and 9.2 (pg.209).
68. S. Pascoli, S. Petcov, and A. Riotto, "Leptogenesis and Low Energy CP Violation in Neutrino Physics," *Nucl.Phys.* **B774** (2007) 1–52, `arXiv:hep-ph/0611338 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.1 (pg.26).
69. F. Capozzi, G. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, *et al.*, "Status of three-neutrino oscillation parameters, circa 2013," `arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 2.2.1 (pg.26), 4.3.1 (pg.99), 4.3.3 (pg.112), 4.4 (pg.115), and 9.2 (pg.208).

DRAFT

70. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Search for the disappearance of muon antineutrinos in the NuMI neutrino beam," *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 071103, `arXiv:1108.1509 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.26).
71. S. Mikheev and A. Y. Smirnov, "Resonance Amplification of Oscillations in Matter and Spectroscopy of Solar Neutrinos," *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **42** (1985) 913–917. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
72. L. Wolfenstein, "Neutrino Oscillations in Matter," *Phys.Rev.* **D17** (1978) 2369–2374. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
73. G. Bellini *et al.*, **Borexino Collaboration** , "Measurement of the solar 8B neutrino rate with a liquid scintillator target and 3 MeV energy threshold in the Borexino detector," *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 033006, `arXiv:0808.2868 [astro-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
74. G. Bellini, J. Benziger, D. Bick, S. Bonetti, G. Bonfini, *et al.*, "Precision measurement of the 7Be solar neutrino interaction rate in Borexino," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 141302, `arXiv:1104.1816 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
75. B. Aharmim *et al.*, **SNO Collaboration** , "Combined Analysis of all Three Phases of Solar Neutrino Data from the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Phys.Rev.* **C88** (2013) 025501, `arXiv:1109.0763 [nucl-ex]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
76. A. Renshaw *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "First Indication of Terrestrial Matter Effects on Solar Neutrino Oscillation," `arXiv:1312.5176 [hep-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 2.2.2 (pg.27) and 8.1 (pg.199).
77. M. Freund, "Analytic approximations for three neutrino oscillation parameters and probabilities in matter," *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 053003, `arXiv:hep-ph/0103300 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.27).
78. W. Marciano and Z. Parsa, "Intense neutrino beams and leptonic CP violation," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **221** (2011) 166–172, `arXiv:hep-ph/0610258 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 2.2.2 (pg.30).
79. B. Viren, "libnuosc++ - A library for calculating 3 neutrino oscillation probabilities.." `https://github.com/brettviren/nuosc`. Cited in Section 2.2.3 (pg.30).
80. A. M. Dziewonski and D. L. Anderson, "Preliminary reference Earth model," *Phys. Earth Plan. Int.* **25** (1981) 297. Cited in Section 2.2.3 (pg.30).
81. J. Appel *et al.*, "Physics Working Group Report to the LBNE Reconfiguration Steering Committee," 2012. `http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/lbne_reconfiguration/files/LBNE-Reconfiguration-PhysicsWG-Report-August2012.pdf`. Cited in Section 2.2.5 (pg.35).
82. R. Brun, F. Bruyant, M. Maire, A. McPherson, and P. Zanarini, "GEANT3," CERN-DD-EE-84-1, 1987. Cited in Section 2.2.5 (pg.33).
83. M. Bass *et al.*, **LBNE Collaboration** , "Baseline optimization for the measurement of CP violation and mass hierarchy in a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment," FERMILAB-PUB-13-506-E, `arXiv:1311.0212 [hep-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 2.2.5 (pg.35) and 3.1 (pg.47).

DRAFT

84. M. Raidal, "Relation between the neutrino and quark mixing angles and grand unification," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **93** (2004) 161801, arXiv:hep-ph/0404046 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
85. H. Minakata and A. Y. Smirnov, "Neutrino mixing and quark-lepton complementarity," *Phys.Rev.* **D70** (2004) 073009, arXiv:hep-ph/0405088 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
86. A. Y. Smirnov, "Neutrino mass, mixing and discrete symmetries," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **447** (2013) 012004, arXiv:1305.4827 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
87. J. Harada, "Non-maximal θ_{23}, large θ_{13} and tri-bimaximal θ_{12} via quark-lepton complementarity at next-to-leading order," *Europhys.Lett.* **103** (2013) 21001, arXiv:1304.4526 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
88. B. Hu, "Trimaximal-Cabibbo neutrino mixing: A parametrization in terms of deviations from tribimaximal mixing," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** no. 5, (2013) 053011, arXiv:1212.4079 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
89. P. Ramond, "Fundamental Physics Underground. Presentation at ISOUPS (International Symposium: Opportunities in Underground Physics for Snowmass), Asilomar, May 2013," 2013. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
90. S. Antusch, C. Biggio, E. Fernandez-Martinez, M. Gavela, and J. Lopez-Pavon, "Unitarity of the Leptonic Mixing Matrix," *JHEP* **0610** (2006) 084, arXiv:hep-ph/0607020 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.2.6 (pg.35).
91. X. Qian, C. Zhang, M. Diwan, and P. Vogel, "Unitarity Tests of the Neutrino Mixing Matrix," arXiv:1308.5700 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Sections 2.2.6 (pg.35) and 2.2.6 (pg.36).
92. J. C. Pati and A. Salam, "Is Baryon Number Conserved?," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **31** (1973) 661–664. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
93. H. Georgi and S. Glashow, "Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **32** (1974) 438–441. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
94. S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, and F. Wilczek, "Proton Decay in Supersymmetric Models," *Phys.Lett.* **B112** (1982) 133. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
95. P. Langacker, "Grand Unified Theories and Proton Decay," *Phys.Rept.* **72** (1981) 185. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
96. W. de Boer, "Grand unified theories and supersymmetry in particle physics and cosmology," *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **33** (1994) 201–302, arXiv:hep-ph/9402266 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
97. P. Nath and P. Fileviez Perez, "Proton stability in grand unified theories, in strings and in branes," *Phys.Rept.* **441** (2007) 191–317, arXiv:hep-ph/0601023 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
98. S. Raby, T. Walker, K. Babu, H. Baer, A. Balantekin, *et al.*, "DUSEL Theory White Paper," SLAC-PUB-14734, FERMILAB-PUB-08-680-T, arXiv:0810.4551 [hep-ph], 2008. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).

DRAFT

99. G. Senjanovic, "Proton decay and grand unification," *AIP Conf.Proc.* **1200** (2010) 131–141, arXiv:0912.5375 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
100. T. Li, D. V. Nanopoulos, and J. W. Walker, "Elements of F-ast Proton Decay," *Nucl.Phys.* **B846** (2011) 43–99, arXiv:1003.2570 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.38).
101. E. Noether, "Invariant Variation Problems," *Gott.Nachr.* **1918** (1918) 235–257, arXiv:physics/0503066 [physics]. Cited in Section 2.3.1 (pg.39).
102. H. Nishino *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Search for Nucleon Decay into Charged Anti-lepton plus Meson in Super-Kamiokande I and II," *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 112001, arXiv:1203.4030 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 2.3.2 (pg.40).
103. R. Bionta, G. Blewitt, C. Bratton, D. Casper, A. Ciocio, *et al.*, "Observation of a Neutrino Burst in Coincidence with Supernova SN 1987a in the Large Magellanic Cloud," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **58** (1987) 1494. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.42) and 6.1 (pg.151).
104. K. Hirata *et al.*, **KAMIOKANDE-II Collaboration** , "Observation of a Neutrino Burst from the Supernova SN 1987a," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **58** (1987) 1490–1493. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.42) and 6.1 (pg.151).
105. E. Alekseev, L. Alekseeva, V. Volchenko, and I. Krivosheina, "Possible Detection of a Neutrino Signal on 23 February 1987 at the Baksan Underground Scintillation Telescope of the Institute of Nuclear Research," *JETP Lett.* **45** (1987) 589–592. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.42).
106. K. Scholberg, "Supernova neutrino detection," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **221** (2011) 248–253, arXiv:astro-ph/0701081 [astro-ph]. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.42) and 2.4 (pg.44).
107. A. Dighe, "Physics potential of future supernova neutrino observations," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **136** (2008) 022041, arXiv:0809.2977 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.42).
108. G. A. Tammann, W. Loeffler, and A. Schroder, "The Galactic supernova rate," *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **92** (1994) 487–493. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.42).
109. E. Cappellaro, R. Evans, and M. Turatto, "A new determination of supernova rates and a comparison with indicators for galactic star formation," *Astron.Astrophys.* **351** (1999) 459, arXiv:astro-ph/9904225 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.42).
110. G. Pagliaroli, F. Vissani, E. Coccia, and W. Fulgione, "Neutrinos from Supernovae as a Trigger for Gravitational Wave Search," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 031102, arXiv:0903.1191 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.43).
111. C. Ott, E. O'Connor, S. Gossan, E. Abdikamalov, U. Gamma, *et al.*, "Core-Collapse Supernovae, Neutrinos, and Gravitational Waves," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **235-236** (2013) 381–387, arXiv:1212.4250 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.43).
112. A. Mirizzi, G. Raffelt, and P. Serpico, "Earth matter effects in supernova neutrinos: Optimal detector locations," *JCAP* **0605** (2006) 012, arXiv:astro-ph/0604300 [astro-ph]. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.43) and 2.4 (pg.44).
113. S. Choubey, B. Dasgupta, A. Dighe, and A. Mirizzi, "Signatures of collective and matter effects on supernova neutrinos at large detectors," arXiv:1008.0308 [hep-ph], 2010. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.43).

DRAFT

114. G. G. Raffelt, "Astrophysical axion bounds: An Update," arXiv:astro-ph/9707268 [astro-ph], 1997. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.43).
115. S. Hannestad and G. Raffelt, "New supernova limit on large extra dimensions," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **87** (2001) 051301, arXiv:hep-ph/0103201 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.43).
116. P. Antonioli *et al.*, "Snews: The supernova early warning system," *New J. Phys.* **6** (2004) 114, astro-ph/0406214. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.44) and 6.1 (pg.153).
117. K. Scholberg, "The SuperNova Early Warning System," *Astron. Nachr.* **329** (2008) 337–339, arXiv:0803.0531 [astro-ph]. Cited in Sections 2.4 (pg.44) and 6.1 (pg.153).
118. K. Scholberg, "Future supernova neutrino detectors," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **203** (2010) 012079. Cited in Section 2.4 (pg.44).
119. "Sanford Underground Research Facility." http://www.sanfordlab.org. Cited in Section 3.3 (pg.54).
120. B. Cleveland, T. Daily, R. Davis Jr., J. R. Distel, K. Lande, *et al.*, "Measurement of the solar electron neutrino flux with the Homestake chlorine detector," *Astrophys.J.* **496** (1998) 505–526. Cited in Sections 3.3 (pg.54) and 8.1 (pg.195).
121. F. Gray, C. Ruybal, J. Totushek, D.-M. Mei, K. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cosmic Ray Muon Flux at the Sanford Underground Laboratory at Homestake," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A638** (2011) 63–66, arXiv:1007.1921 [nucl-ex]. Cited in Section 3.3 (pg.58).
122. W. Roggenthen and A. Smith, "U, Th, K contents of materials associated with the Homestake DUSEL site, Lead, South Dakota," *Private Communication* . Cited in Section 3.3 (pg.59).
123. D. Akerib *et al.*, **LUX Collaboration** , "First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility," arXiv:1310.8214 [astro-ph.CO], 2013. Cited in Section 3.3 (pg.59).
124. M. Bishai and Y. Lu, "Conceptual Designs for a Wide-Band Low-Energy Neutrino Beam Target," LBNE-doc-3151, November, 2010.
125. B. Lundberg, "A beginner guide to horn design and history of LBNE horn design," LBNE-doc-8398, November, 2014.
126. D. Ayres *et al.*, **NOvA Collaboration** , "The NOvA Technical Design Report," FERMILAB-DESIGN-2007-01, 2007. http://lss.fnal.gov/archive/design/fermilab-design-2007-01.pdf. Cited in Sections 3.4 (pg.63), 4.2.1 (pg.88), 7.4.4 (pg.183), and 7.6 (pg.185).
127. E. Worcester, "Potential Sensitivity Improvements with 10 kT LBNE," LBNE-doc-6599, 2012. Cited in Section 3.4 (pg.69).
128. S. Mishra, R. Petti, and C. Rosenfeld, "A High Resolution Neutrino Experiment in a Magnetic Field for Project-X at Fermilab," *PoS* **NUFACT08** (2008) 069, arXiv:0812.4527 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 3.5 (pg.71).

DRAFT

129. B. Choudhary *et al.*, **Indian Institutions and Fermilab Collaboration** , "LBNE-India Detailed Project Report (DPR) submitted to DAE, India," `LBNE-doc-6704`, 2012. Cited in Sections 3.5 (pg.73), 3.5 (pg.74), and 7 (pg.163).
130. P. Huber, M. Lindner, and W. Winter, "Simulation of long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments with GLoBES (General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator)," *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **167** (2005) 195, `arXiv:hep-ph/0407333 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Sections 4.2 (pg.85), 4.2.1 (pg.86), 4.2.2 (pg.88), and A.3 (pg.225).
131. P. Huber, J. Kopp, M. Lindner, M. Rolinec, and W. Winter, "New features in the simulation of neutrino oscillation experiments with GLoBES 3.0: General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator," *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **177** (2007) 432–438, `arXiv:hep-ph/0701187 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Sections 4.2 (pg.85) and 4.2.2 (pg.88).
132. S. Agostinelli *et al.*, **GEANT4** , "GEANT4: A simulation toolkit," *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A506** (2003) 250–303. Cited in Sections 4.2.1 (pg.85), 6.2 (pg.154), and A.1.1 (pg.213).
133. C. Andreopoulos, **GENIE Collaboration** , "The GENIE neutrino Monte Carlo generator," *Acta Phys.Polon.* **B40** (2009) 2461–2475. Cited in Sections 4.2.1 (pg.88), 4.6 (pg.122), and A.1.1 (pg.216).
134. K. Abe *et al.*, **T2K Collaboration** , "The T2K Experiment," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A659** (2011) 106–135, `arXiv:1106.1238 [physics.ins-det]`. Cited in Sections 4.2.1 (pg.88), 7.4.4 (pg.183), and 7.6 (pg.185).
135. **NuMI-MINOS** . `http://www-numi.fnal.gov/`. Cited in Section 4.2.1 (pg.88).
136. A. Rubbia, "LAGUNA-LBNO: Design of an underground neutrino observatory coupled to long baseline neutrino beams from CERN," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **408** (2013) 012006. Cited in Section 4.2.1 (pg.88).
137. J.-P. Delahaye, C. Ankenbrandt, A. Bogacz, S. Brice, A. Bross, *et al.*, "Enabling Intensity and Energy Frontier Science with a Muon Accelerator Facility in the U.S.: A White Paper Submitted to the 2013 U.S. Community Summer Study of the Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society," FERMILAB-CONF-13-307-APC, `arXiv:1308.0494 [physics.acc-ph]`, 2013. Cited in Section 4.2.1 (pg.88).
138. A. Longhin, "Optimization of neutrino beams for underground sites in Europe," `arXiv:1206.4294 [physics.ins-det]`, 2012. Cited in Section 4.2.1 (pg.88).
139. S. Amoruso *et al.*, **ICARUS Collaboration** , "Measurement of the mu decay spectrum with the ICARUS liquid argon TPC," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C33** (2004) 233–241, `arXiv:hep-ex/0311040 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.2.2 (pg.88), 4.6 (pg.124), and 6.2 (pg.154).
140. T2K Collaboration, "A Proposal for a Detector 2km Away from the T2K Neutrino Source.". 2005. `http://www.phy.duke.edu/~cwalter/nusag-members/2km-proposal-05-05-30.pdf`. Cited in Section 4.2.2 (pg.89).
141. A. Ankowski *et al.*, **ICARUS Collaboration** , "Measurement of through-going particle momentum by means of multiple scattering with the ICARUS T600 TPC," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C48** (2006) 667–676, `arXiv:hep-ex/0606006 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.2.2 (pg.89).

DRAFT

142. F. An *et al.*, **Daya Bay Collaboration** , "Spectral measurement of electron antineutrino oscillation amplitude and frequency at Daya Bay," `arXiv:1310.6732 [hep-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 4.3 (pg.93) and 4.5 (pg.119).
143. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Electron neutrino and antineutrino appearance in the full MINOS data sample," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** no. 17, (2013) 171801, `arXiv:1301.4581 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.3 (pg.93), 4.3.2 (pg.103), and 4.3.2 (pg.108).
144. M. J. Murtagh, **E734 Collaboration** , "A Search for muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino oscillations using the E734 detector," BNL-39667, 1987.
145. R. Seto, "BNL E776: A Search for neutrino oscillations," *AIP Conf.Proc.* **176** (1988) 957–963.
146. L. Borodovsky, C. Chi, Y. Ho, N. Kondakis, W.-Y. Lee, *et al.*, "Search for muon-neutrino oscillations muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino (anti-muon-neutrino to anti-electron-neutrino in a wide band neutrino beam," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **68** (1992) 274–277.
147. P. Astier *et al.*, **NOMAD Collaboration** , "Search for nu(mu) –> nu(e) oscillations in the NOMAD experiment," *Phys.Lett.* **B570** (2003) 19–31, `arXiv:hep-ex/0306037 [hep-ex]`.
148. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , "Unexplained Excess of Electron-Like Events From a 1-GeV Neutrino Beam," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 101802, `arXiv:0812.2243 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.190).
149. K. Abe *et al.*, **T2K Collaboration** , "Observation of Electron Neutrino Appearance in a Muon Neutrino Beam," `arXiv:1311.4750 [hep-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.103).
150. X. Qian, A. Tan, W. Wang, J. Ling, R. McKeown, *et al.*, "Statistical Evaluation of Experimental Determinations of Neutrino Mass Hierarchy," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 113011, `arXiv:1210.3651 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Sections 4.3.1 (pg.96), 4.3.1 (pg.97), 4.3.1 (pg.99), and 4.3.1 (pg.100).
151. M. Blennow, P. Coloma, P. Huber, and T. Schwetz, "Quantifying the sensitivity of oscillation experiments to the neutrino mass ordering," `arXiv:1311.1822 [hep-ph]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 4.3.1 (pg.97), 4.3.1 (pg.98), 4.3.1 (pg.99), 4.3.1 (pg.100), and 4.8 (pg.137).
152. R. Cousins, "Private communication," 2013. Cited in Section 4.3.1 (pg.99).
153. R. Cousins, J. Mumford, J. Tucker, and V. Valuev, "Spin discrimination of new heavy resonances at the LHC," *JHEP* **0511** (2005) 046. Cited in Section 4.3.1 (pg.99).
154. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Improved search for muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino oscillations in MINOS," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 181802, `arXiv:1108.0015 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.103).
155. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Neutrino and Antineutrino Inclusive Charged-current Cross Section Measurements with the MINOS Near Detector," *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 072002, `arXiv:0910.2201 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.103) and 7.1.8 (pg.169).

DRAFT

156. Q. Wu *et al.*, **NOMAD Collaboration** , "A Precise measurement of the muon neutrino-nucleon inclusive charged current cross-section off an isoscalar target in the energy range 2.5 < E(nu) < 40-GeV by NOMAD," *Phys.Lett.* **B660** (2008) 19–25, `arXiv:0711.1183 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.103), 7.1.8 (pg.169), and 7.4.4 (pg.183).
157. V. Lyubushkin *et al.*, **NOMAD Collaboration** , "A Study of quasi-elastic muon neutrino and antineutrino scattering in the NOMAD experiment," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C63** (2009) 355–381, `arXiv:0812.4543 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.103) and 7.1.8 (pg.169).
158. A. Bodek, U. Sarica, K. Kuzmin, and V. Naumov, "Extraction of Neutrino Flux with the Low ν Method at MiniBooNE Energies," *AIP Conf.Proc.* **1560** (2013) 193–197, `arXiv:1207.1247 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.103).
159. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "A Study of Muon Neutrino Disappearance Using the Fermilab Main Injector Neutrino Beam," *Phys.Rev.* **D77** (2008) 072002, `arXiv:0711.0769 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.103).
160. M. Bishai, "Determining the Neutrino Flux from Accelerator Neutrino Beams," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **229-232** (2012) 210–214. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.103).
161. B. Osmanov, **MINERvA Collaboration** , "MINERvA Detector: Description and Performance," `arXiv:1109.2855 [physics.ins-det]`, 2011. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.104), 7.4.4 (pg.182), 7.4.4 (pg.183), and 7.6 (pg.185).
162. A. Korzenev, **NA61/SHINE** , "Hadron production measurement from NA61/SHINE," `arXiv:1311.5719 [nucl-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.104) and 7.1.2 (pg.166).
163. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Measurement of the neutrino mass splitting and flavor mixing by MINOS," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 181801, `arXiv:1103.0340 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 4.3.2 (pg.105) and 4.5 (pg.120).
164. T. Yang, **ArgoNeuT Collaboration** , "New Results from ArgoNeuT," FERMILAB-CONF-13-510-E, `arXiv:1311.2096 [hep-ex]`, 2013. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.107).
165. M. Day and K. S. McFarland, "Differences in Quasi-Elastic Cross-Sections of Muon and Electron Neutrinos," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 053003, `arXiv:1206.6745 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 4.3.2 (pg.108).
166. K. Abe *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Search for Differences in Oscillation Parameters for Atmospheric Neutrinos and Antineutrinos at Super-Kamiokande," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 241801, `arXiv:1109.1621 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.4 (pg.115).
167. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Measurement of Neutrino and Antineutrino Oscillations Using Beam and Atmospheric Data in MINOS," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 251801, `arXiv:1304.6335 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 4.6 (pg.122).
168. V. Agrawal, T. Gaisser, P. Lipari, and T. Stanev, "Atmospheric neutrino flux above 1-GeV," *Phys.Rev.* **D53** (1996) 1314–1323, `arXiv:hep-ph/9509423 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 4.6 (pg.122).

DRAFT

169. A. Ankowski *et al.*, "Energy reconstruction of electromagnetic showers from pi0 decays with the icarus t600 liquid argon tpc," *Acta Physica Polonica B* **41** no. 1, (2010) 103, arXiv:0812.2373 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 4.6 (pg.124).
170. F. Arneodo *et al.*, **The ICARUS-Milano Collaboration** , "Performance of a liquid argon time projection chamber exposed to the cern west area neutrino facility neutrino beam," *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (Dec, 2006) 112001. http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.112001. Cited in Section 4.6 (pg.124).
171. C. Rubbia *et al.*, "Underground operation of the ICARUS T600 LAr-TPC: first results," *JINST* **6** (2011) P07011, arXiv:1106.0975 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 4.6 (pg.124).
172. S. Davidson, C. Pena-Garay, N. Rius, and A. Santamaria, "Present and future bounds on nonstandard neutrino interactions," *JHEP* **0303** (2003) 011, arXiv:hep-ph/0302093 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 4.7.1 (pg.132).
173. M. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, "Phenomenology with Massive Neutrinos," *Phys.Rept.* **460** (2008) 1–129, arXiv:0704.1800 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 4.7.1 (pg.132).
174. C. Biggio, M. Blennow, and E. Fernandez-Martinez, "General bounds on non-standard neutrino interactions," *JHEP* **0908** (2009) 090, arXiv:0907.0097 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 4.7.1 (pg.132).
175. H. Davoudiasl, H.-S. Lee, and W. J. Marciano, "Long-Range Lepton Flavor Interactions and Neutrino Oscillations," *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 013009, arXiv:1102.5352 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 4.7.2 (pg.132).
176. P. Adamson *et al.*, **MINOS Collaboration** , "Search for sterile neutrino mixing in the MINOS long baseline experiment," *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 052004, arXiv:1001.0336 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 4.7.3 (pg.134).
177. P. Machado, H. Nunokawa, F. P. d. Santos, and R. Z. Funchal, "Large Extra Dimensions and Neutrino Oscillations," arXiv:1110.1465 [hep-ph], 2011. Cited in Section 4.7.4 (pg.135).
178. P. Coloma, P. Huber, J. Kopp, and W. Winter, "Systematic uncertainties in long-baseline neutrino oscillations for large θ_{13}," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** no. 3, (2013) 033004, arXiv:1209.5973 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.135).
179. K. Abe, T. Abe, H. Aihara, Y. Fukuda, Y. Hayato, *et al.*, "Letter of Intent: The Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment — Detector Design and Physics Potential —," arXiv:1109.3262 [hep-ex], 2011. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.135).
180. A. Stahl, C. Wiebusch, A. Guler, M. Kamiscioglu, R. Sever, *et al.*, "Expression of Interest for a very long baseline neutrino oscillation experiment (LBNO)," CERN-SPSC-2012-021, SPSC-EOI-007, 2012. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.135).
181. M. Apollonio, A. Bross, J. Kopp, and K. Long, **IDS-NF Collaboration** , "The International Design Study for the Neutrino Factory," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **229-232** (2012) 515. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.135).

DRAFT

182. E. Christensen, P. Coloma, and P. Huber, "Physics Performance of a Low-Luminosity Low Energy Neutrino Factory," arXiv:1301.7727 [hep-ph], 2013. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.136).
183. E. Kearns *et al.*, **Hyper-Kamiokande Working Group** , "Hyper-Kamiokande Physics Opportunities," arXiv:1309.0184 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.136).
184. S. Agarwalla *et al.*, **LAGUNA-LBNO Collaboration** , "The mass-hierarchy and CP-violation discovery reach of the LBNO long-baseline neutrino experiment," arXiv:1312.6520 [hep-ph], 2013. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.136).
185. M. Bishai, M. Diwan, S. Kettell, J. Stewart, B. Viren, *et al.*, "Precision Neutrino Oscillation Measurements using Simultaneous High-Power, Low-Energy Project-X Beams," BNL-101234-2013-CP, FERMILAB-FN-0962, arXiv:1307.0807 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Section 4.8 (pg.137).
186. J. L. Raaf, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Recent Nucleon Decay Results from Super-Kamiokande," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **229-232** (2012) 559. Cited in Section 5.1 (pg.139).
187. A. Bueno, Z. Dai, Y. Ge, M. Laffranchi, A. Melgarejo, *et al.*, "Nucleon decay searches with large liquid argon TPC detectors at shallow depths: Atmospheric neutrinos and cosmogenic backgrounds," *JHEP* **0704** (2007) 041, arXiv:hep-ph/0701101 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 5.1 (pg.140), 5.2 (pg.140), 5.3.1 (pg.144), 5.3.1 (pg.145), and 5.3.2 (pg.145).
188. D. Stefan and A. M. Ankowski, "Nuclear effects in proton decay," *Acta Phys.Polon.* **B40** (2009) 671–674, arXiv:0811.1892 [nucl-th]. Cited in Section 5.2 (pg.141).
189. S. Amerio *et al.*, **ICARUS Collaboration** , "Design, construction and tests of the ICARUS T600 detector," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A527** (2004) 329–410. Cited in Section 5.2 (pg.141).
190. M. Antonello, B. Baibussinov, P. Benetti, E. Calligarich, N. Canci, *et al.*, "Precise 3D track reconstruction algorithm for the ICARUS T600 liquid argon time projection chamber detector," *Adv.High Energy Phys.* **2013** (2013) 260820, arXiv:1210.5089 [physics.ins-det]. Cited in Sections 5.2 (pg.142) and 5.3.2 (pg.148).
191. A. Bernstein, M. Bishai, E. Blucher, D. B. Cline, M. V. Diwan, *et al.*, "Report on the Depth Requirements for a Massive Detector at Homestake," FERMILAB-TM-2424-E, BNL-81896-2008-IR, LBNL-1348E, arXiv:0907.4183 [hep-ex], 2009. Cited in Section 5.3.1 (pg.144).
192. V. Kudryavtsev *et al.*, "Cosmic rays and cosmogenics. report to the lbne collaboration.," LBNE-doc-5904, 2012. Cited in Section 5.3.1 (pg.144).
193. K. Kobayashi *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Search for nucleon decay via modes favored by supersymmetric grand unification models in Super-Kamiokande-I," *Phys.Rev.* **D72** (2005) 052007, arXiv:hep-ex/0502026 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 5.3.2 (pg.146).
194. H. Gallagher, "Private communication.". Cited in Section 5.3.2 (pg.148).
195. H.-T. Janka, "Explosion Mechanisms of Core-Collapse Supernovae," *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **62** (2012) 407–451, arXiv:1206.2503 [astro-ph.SR]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.151).

DRAFT

196. T. Fischer, S. Whitehouse, A. Mezzacappa, F.-K. Thielemann, and M. Liebendorfer, "Protoneutron star evolution and the neutrino driven wind in general relativistic neutrino radiation hydrodynamics simulations," *Astron.Astrophys.* **517** (2010) A80, arXiv:0908.1871 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
197. M. Wurm *et al.*, **LENA Collaboration** , "The next-generation liquid-scintillator neutrino observatory LENA," *Astropart.Phys.* **35** (2012) 685–732, arXiv:1104.5620 [astro-ph.IM]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
198. H. Minakata, H. Nunokawa, R. Tomas, and J. W. Valle, "Parameter Degeneracy in Flavor-Dependent Reconstruction of Supernova Neutrino Fluxes," *JCAP* **0812** (2008) 006, arXiv:0802.1489 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
199. I. Tamborra, B. Muller, L. Hudepohl, H.-T. Janka, and G. Raffelt, "High-resolution supernova neutrino spectra represented by a simple fit," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 125031, arXiv:1211.3920 [astro-ph.SR]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
200. H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y.-Z. Qian, "Collective neutrino flavor transformation in supernovae," *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 123004, arXiv:astro-ph/0511275 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
201. G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Mirizzi, "Collective neutrino flavor transitions in supernovae and the role of trajectory averaging," *JCAP* **0712** (2007) 010, arXiv:0707.1998 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
202. G. G. Raffelt and A. Y. Smirnov, "Self-induced spectral splits in supernova neutrino fluxes," *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 081301, arXiv:0705.1830 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
203. G. G. Raffelt and A. Y. Smirnov, "Adiabaticity and spectral splits in collective neutrino transformations," *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 125008, arXiv:0709.4641 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
204. A. Esteban-Pretel, A. Mirizzi, S. Pastor, R. Tomas, G. Raffelt, *et al.*, "Role of dense matter in collective supernova neutrino transformations," *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 085012, arXiv:0807.0659 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
205. H. Duan and J. P. Kneller, "Neutrino flavour transformation in supernovae," *J.Phys.G* **G36** (2009) 113201, arXiv:0904.0974 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
206. B. Dasgupta, A. Dighe, G. G. Raffelt, and A. Y. Smirnov, "Multiple Spectral Splits of Supernova Neutrinos," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **103** (2009) 051105, arXiv:0904.3542 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
207. H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y.-Z. Qian, "Collective Neutrino Oscillations," *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **60** (2010) 569–594, arXiv:1001.2799 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.152).
208. H. Duan and A. Friedland, "Self-induced suppression of collective neutrino oscillations in a supernova," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 091101, arXiv:1006.2359 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 6.1 (pg.152) and 6.2 (pg.155).

DRAFT

209. J. F. Cherry, J. Carlson, A. Friedland, G. M. Fuller, and A. Vlasenko, "Halo Modification of a Supernova Neutronization Neutrino Burst," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 085037, arXiv:1302.1159 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
210. J. F. Beacom, R. Boyd, and A. Mezzacappa, "Black hole formation in core collapse supernovae and time-of-flight measurements of the neutrino masses," *Phys.Rev.* **D63** (2001) 073011, arXiv:astro-ph/0010398 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
211. T. Fischer, S. C. Whitehouse, A. Mezzacappa, F. K. Thielemann, and M. Liebendorfer, "The neutrino signal from protoneutron star accretion and black hole formation," arXiv:0809.5129 [astro-ph], 2008. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
212. R. C. Schirato and G. M. Fuller, "Connection between supernova shocks, flavor transformation, and the neutrino signal," LA-UR-02-3068, arXiv:astro-ph/0205390 [astro-ph], 2002. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
213. F. Hanke, A. Marek, B. Muller, and H.-T. Janka, "Is Strong SASI Activity the Key to Successful Neutrino-Driven Supernova Explosions?," *Astrophys.J.* **755** (2012) 138, arXiv:1108.4355 [astro-ph.SR]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
214. F. Hanke, B. Mueller, A. Wongwathanarat, A. Marek, and H.-T. Janka, "SASI Activity in Three-Dimensional Neutrino-Hydrodynamics Simulations of Supernova Cores," *Astrophys.J.* **770** (2013) 66, arXiv:1303.6269 [astro-ph.SR]. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
215. A. Friedland and A. Gruzinov, "Neutrino signatures of supernova turbulence," LA-UR-06-2202, arXiv:astro-ph/0607244 [astro-ph], 2006. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
216. T. Lund and J. P. Kneller, "Combining collective, MSW, and turbulence effects in supernova neutrino flavor evolution," arXiv:1304.6372 [astro-ph.HE], 2013. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
217. G. G. Raffelt, "Particle Physics from Stars," *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **49** (1999) 163–216, arXiv:hep-ph/9903472. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
218. A. Bueno, I. Gil Botella, and A. Rubbia, "Supernova neutrino detection in a liquid argon TPC," ICARUS-TM-03-02, arXiv:hep-ph/0307222 [hep-ph], 2003. Cited in Section 6.1 (pg.153).
219. K. Scholberg *et al.*, "SNOwGLoBES: SuperNova Observatories with GLoBES." http://www.phy.duke.edu/~schol/snowglobes. Cited in Section 6.2 (pg.154).
220. E. D. Church, "LArSoft: A Software Package for Liquid Argon Time Projection Drift Chambers," arXiv:1311.6774 [physics.ins-det], 2013. Cited in Sections 6.2 (pg.154) and A.1.1 (pg.213).
221. T. Totani, K. Sato, H. E. Dalhed, and J. R. Wilson, "Future detection of supernova neutrino burst and explosion mechanism," *Astrophys. J.* **496** (1998) 216–225, arXiv:astro-ph/9710203. Cited in Section 6.2 (pg.155).
222. J. Gava, J. Kneller, C. Volpe, and G. C. McLaughlin, "A dynamical collective calculation of supernova neutrino signals," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 071101, arXiv:0902.0317 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 6.2 (pg.155).

DRAFT

223. L. Hudepohl, B. Muller, H.-T. Janka, A. Marek, and G. Raffelt, "Neutrino Signal of Electron-Capture Supernovae from Core Collapse to Cooling," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **104** (2010) 251101, arXiv:0912.0260 [astro-ph.SR]. Cited in Section 6.2 (pg.155).
224. A. Cherry, A. Friedland, and H. Duan, "Private communication.".
225. M. T. Keil, G. G. Raffelt, and H.-T. Janka, "Monte Carlo study of supernova neutrino spectra formation," *Astrophys.J.* **590** (2003) 971–991, arXiv:astro-ph/0208035 [astro-ph].
226. E. Church *et al.*, "Muon-induced background for beam neutrinos at the surface," LBNE-doc-6232, October, 2012. Cited in Sections 6.3.1 (pg.158), A.4 (pg.229), and A.4 (pg.230).
227. Gehman, V. and Kadel, R, "Calculation of intrinsic and cosmogenic backgrounds in the LBNE far detector for use in detection of supernova neutrinos," LBNE-doc-8419, January, 2014. Cited in Section 6.3.2 (pg.159).
228. J. H. Harley *et al.*, "Report No. 094 - Exposure of the Population in the United States and Canada from Natural Background Radiation," *National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements* (2014) . http://www.ncrppublications.org/Reports/094. Cited in Section 6.3.3 (pg.160).
229. L. Grandi, "Darkside-50: performance and results from the first atmospheric argon run," February, 2014. UCLA's 11th Symposium on Sources and Detection of Dark Matter and Dark Energy in the Universe. Cited in Section 6.3.3 (pg.160).
230. D. Leonard, P. Grinberg, P. Weber, E. Baussan, Z. Djurcic, *et al.*, "Systematic study of trace radioactive impurities in candidate construction materials for EXO-200," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A591** (2008) 490–509, arXiv:0709.4524 [physics.ins-det]. Cited in Section 6.3.3 (pg.160).
231. D. Casper, "The Nuance neutrino physics simulation, and the future," *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **112** (2002) 161–170, arXiv:hep-ph/0208030 [hep-ph].
232. G. Zeller, "Nuclear Effects in Water vs. Argon," LBNE-doc-740, 2010.
233. G. Zeller, "Expected Event Rates in the LBNE Near Detector," LBNE-doc-783, 2010.
234. S.R.Mishra, Apr, 1990. Review talk presented at Workshop on Hadron Structure Functions and Parton Distributions, Fermilab. Cited in Section 7.1.1 (pg.164).
235. R. Raja, "The Main injector particle production experiment (MIPP) at Fermilab," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A553** (2005) 225–230, arXiv:hep-ex/0501005 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.1.2 (pg.166).
236. J. Formaggio and G. Zeller, "From eV to EeV: Neutrino Cross Sections Across Energy Scales," *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **84** (2012) 1307, arXiv:1305.7513 [hep-ex]. Cited in Sections 7.1.3 (pg.166) and 7.4.4 (pg.182).
237. W. J. Marciano and Z. Parsa, "Neutrino-Electron Scattering Theory," *J. Phys.* **G29** (2003) 2629–2645, arXiv:hep-ph/0403168. Cited in Sections 7.1.4 (pg.167) and 7.2.2 (pg.173).

DRAFT

238. S. Mishra, K. Bachmann, R. Bernstein, R. Blair, C. Foudas, *et al.*, "Measurement of Inverse Muon Decay $\nu_\mu + e \to \mu^- + \nu_e$ at Fermilab Tevatron Energies 15-GeV - 600-GeV," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **63** (1989) 132–135. Cited in Section 7.1.5 (pg.167).
239. S. Mishra, K. Bachmann, R. Blair, C. Foudas, B. King, *et al.*, "Inverse Muon Decay, $\nu_\mu e \to \mu^- \nu_e$, at the Fermilab Tevatron," *Phys.Lett.* **B252** (1990) 170–176. Cited in Section 7.1.5 (pg.167).
240. P. Vilain *et al.*, **CHARM-II Collaboration** , "A Precise measurement of the cross-section of the inverse muon decay muon-neutrino + e- –> mu- + electron-neutrino," *Phys.Lett.* **B364** (1995) 121–126. Cited in Section 7.1.5 (pg.167).
241. O. Samoylov *et al.*, **NOMAD** , "A Precision Measurement of Charm Dimuon Production in Neutrino Interactions from the NOMAD Experiment," *Nucl.Phys.* **B876** (2013) 339–375, `arXiv:1308.4750 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Sections 7.1.8 (pg.169), 7.2.1 (pg.172), and 7.4.4 (pg.183).
242. G. Zeller *et al.*, **NuTeV Collaboration** , "A Precise determination of electroweak parameters in neutrino nucleon scattering," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **88** (2002) 091802, `arXiv:hep-ex/0110059 [hep-ex]`. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.170).
243. H. Abramowicz, R. Belusevic, A. Blondel, H. Blumer, P. Bockmann, *et al.*, **CDHS Collaboration** , "A Precision Measurement of sin**2theta(W) from Semileptonic Neutrino Scattering," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **57** (1986) 298. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.171).
244. J. Allaby *et al.*, **CHARM Collaboration** , "A Precise Determination of the Electroweak Mixing Angle from Semileptonic Neutrino Scattering," *Z.Phys.* **C36** (1987) 611. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.171).
245. P. Reutens, F. Merritt, D. MacFarlane, R. Messner, D. Novikoff, *et al.*, **CCFR Collaboration** , "Measurement of $\sin^2\theta_W$ and ρ in Deep Inelastic Neutrino - Nucleon Scattering," *Phys.Lett.* **B152** (1985) 404–410. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.171).
246. S. Alekhin, S. A. Kulagin, and R. Petti, "Modeling lepton-nucleon inelastic scattering from high to low momentum transfer," *AIP Conf.Proc.* **967** (2007) 215–224, `arXiv:0710.0124 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
247. S. Alekhin, S. A. Kulagin, and R. Petti, "Update of the global fit of PDFs including the low-Q DIS data," `arXiv:0810.4893 [hep-ph]`, 2008. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
248. S. Alekhin, S. A. Kulagin, and R. Petti, "Determination of Strange Sea Distributions from Neutrino-Nucleon Deep Inelastic Scattering," *Phys.Lett.* **B675** (2009) 433–440, `arXiv:0812.4448 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
249. A. Arbuzov, D. Y. Bardin, and L. Kalinovskaya, "Radiative corrections to neutrino deep inelastic scattering revisited," *JHEP* **0506** (2005) 078, `arXiv:hep-ph/0407203 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
250. S. A. Kulagin and R. Petti, "Global study of nuclear structure functions," *Nucl.Phys.* **A765** (2006) 126–187, `arXiv:hep-ph/0412425 [hep-ph]`. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
251. S. A. Kulagin and R. Petti, "Neutrino inelastic scattering off nuclei," *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 094023, `arXiv:hep-ph/0703033 [HEP-PH]`. Cited in Sections 7.2.1 (pg.172), 7.4.2 (pg.181), and 7.6 (pg.186).

252. S. Kulagin and R. Petti, "Structure functions for light nuclei," *Phys.Rev.* **C82** (2010) 054614, arXiv:1004.3062 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.2.1 (pg.172).
253. P. Vilain *et al.*, **CHARM-II Collaboration** , "Precision measurement of electroweak parameters from the scattering of muon-neutrinos on electrons," *Phys.Lett.* **B335** (1994) 246–252. Cited in Section 7.2.2 (pg.173).
254. A. Czarnecki and W. J. Marciano, "Polarized Moller scattering asymmetries," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A15** (2000) 2365–2376, arXiv:hep-ph/0003049 [hep-ph].
255. S. Bennett and C. Wieman, "Erratum: Measurement of the 6s –> 7s Transition Polarizability in Atomic Cesium and an Improved Test of the Standard Model [Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2484 (1999)]," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **82** (1999) 4153–4153.
256. W. Yao *et al.*, **Particle Data Group** , "Review of Particle Physics," *J.Phys.* **G33** (2006) 1–1232.
257. P. Anthony *et al.*, **SLAC E158 Collaboration** , "Precision measurement of the weak mixing angle in Moller scattering," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95** (2005) 081601, arXiv:hep-ex/0504049 [hep-ex].
258. J. H. Lee, "The Qweak: Precision measurement of the proton's weak charge by parity violating experiment," *Few Body Syst.* **54** (2013) 129–134. Cited in Section 7.2.2 (pg.175).
259. Nuruzzaman, "Q-weak: First Direct Measurement of the Weak Charge of the Proton," arXiv:1312.6009 [nucl-ex], 2013. Cited in Section 7.2.2 (pg.175).
260. R. Jaffe and A. Manohar, "The G(1) Problem: Fact and Fantasy on the Spin of the Proton," *Nucl.Phys.* **B337** (1990) 509–546. Cited in Section 7.3 (pg.175).
261. R. D. Young, J. Roche, R. D. Carlini, and A. W. Thomas, "Extracting nucleon strange and anapole form factors from world data," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **97** (2006) 102002, arXiv:nucl-ex/0604010 [nucl-ex]. Cited in Sections 7.3.1 (pg.175) and 7.3.1 (pg.176).
262. D. B. Leinweber, S. Boinepalli, I. Cloet, A. W. Thomas, A. G. Williams, *et al.*, "Precise determination of the strangeness magnetic moment of the nucleon," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94** (2005) 212001, arXiv:hep-lat/0406002 [hep-lat]. Cited in Section 7.3.1 (pg.175).
263. L. Ahrens, S. Aronson, P. Connolly, B. Gibbard, M. Murtagh, *et al.*, "Measurement of Neutrino - Proton and anti-neutrino - Proton Elastic Scattering," *Phys.Rev.* **D35** (1987) 785. Cited in Section 7.3.2 (pg.177).
264. G. Garvey, W. Louis, and D. White, "Determination of proton strange form-factors from neutrino p elastic scattering," *Phys.Rev.* **C48** (1993) 761–765. Cited in Section 7.3.2 (pg.177).
265. W. Alberico, M. Barbaro, S. M. Bilenky, J. Caballero, C. Giunti, *et al.*, "Strange form-factors of the proton: A New analysis of the neutrino (anti-neutrino) data of the BNL-734 experiment," *Nucl.Phys.* **A651** (1999) 277–286, arXiv:hep-ph/9812388 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.3.2 (pg.177).
266. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , "Measurement of the Neutrino Neutral-Current Elastic Differential Cross Section on Mineral Oil at $E_\nu \sim 1$ GeV,"

Phys.Rev. **D82** (2010) 092005, arXiv:1007.4730 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.3.2 (pg.177).

267. L. Bugel *et al.*, **FINeSSE Collaboration** , "A Proposal for a near detector experiment on the booster neutrino beamline: FINeSSE: Fermilab intense neutrino scattering scintillator experiment," FERMILAB-PROPOSAL-0937, arXiv:hep-ex/0402007 [hep-ex], 2004. Cited in Section 7.3.2 (pg.178).
268. W. Leung, P. Quintas, S. Mishra, F. Sciulli, C. Arroyo, *et al.*, "A Measurement of the Gross-Llewellyn-Smith sum rule from the CCFR x(F3) structure function," *Phys.Lett.* **B317** (1993) 655–659. Cited in Section 7.4.1 (pg.180).
269. A. Bodek and A. Simon, "What Do Electron and Neutrino Experiments Tell Us About Nuclear Effects in the Deuteron," *Z.Phys.* **C29** (1985) 231. Cited in Sections 7.4.3 (pg.181) and 7.4.3 (pg.182).
270. G. Jones *et al.*, **Birmingham-CERN-Imperial Coll.-MPI(Munich)-Oxford-University Coll. Collaboration** , "A Measurement of the Proton Structure Functions From Neutrino Hydrogen and Anti-neutrino Hydrogen Charged Current Interactions," *Z.Phys.* **C44** (1989) 379–384. Cited in Sections 7.4.3 (pg.181) and 7.4.3 (pg.182).
271. J. Berge, H. Burkhardt, F. Dydak, R. Hagelberg, M. Krasny, *et al.*, "A Measurement of Differential Cross-Sections and Nucleon Structure Functions in Charged Current Neutrino Interactions on Iron," *Z.Phys.* **C49** (1991) 187–224.
272. D. Allasia *et al.*, **WA25 Collaboration** , "Measurement of the Neutron and Proton Structure Functions From Neutrino and Anti-neutrinos Scattering in Deuterium," *Phys.Lett.* **B135** (1984) 231.
273. D. Allasia, C. Angelini, A. Baldini, L. Bertanza, A. Bigi, *et al.*, "Q**2 Dependence of the Proton and Neutron Structure Functions from Neutrino and anti-neutrinos Scattering in Deuterium," *Z.Phys.* **C28** (1985) 321. Cited in Section 7.5 (pg.184).
274. U.-K. Yang *et al.*, **CCFR/NuTeV Collaboration** , "Measurements of F_2 and $xF_3^{\nu} - xF_3^{\bar{\nu}}$ from CCFR $\nu_\mu-$Fe and $\bar{\nu}_\mu-$Fe data in a physics model independent way," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **86** (2001) 2742–2745, arXiv:hep-ex/0009041 [hep-ex].
275. U.-K. Yang *et al.*, **CCFR/NuTeV Collaboration** , "Extraction of R = sigma(L) / sigma(T) from CCFR Fe-neutrino(muon) and Fe-anti-neutrino(muon) differential cross-sections," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **87** (2001) 251802, arXiv:hep-ex/0104040 [hep-ex].
276. M. Tzanov *et al.*, **NuTeV Collaboration** , "Precise measurement of neutrino and anti-neutrino differential cross sections," *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 012008, arXiv:hep-ex/0509010 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.4.4 (pg.183).
277. G. Onengut *et al.*, **CHORUS Collaboration** , "Measurement of nucleon structure functions in neutrino scattering," *Phys.Lett.* **B632** (2006) 65–75.
278. R. Petti and O. Samoylov, "Charm dimuon production in neutrino-nucleon interactions in the NOMAD experiment," *Phys.Part.Nucl.Lett.* **8** (2011) 755–761. Cited in Section 7.4.4 (pg.183).
279. T. Sekiguchi, "Neutrino facility and neutrino physics in J-PARC," *PTEP* **2012** (2012) 02B005. Cited in Section 7.4.4 (pg.183).

DRAFT

280. J. Dudek, R. Ent, R. Essig, K. Kumar, C. Meyer, *et al.*, "Physics Opportunities with the 12 GeV Upgrade at Jefferson Lab," *Eur.Phys.J.* **A48** (2012) 187, arXiv:1208.1244 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.4.4 (pg.183).
281. N. Mondal, "India-Based Neutrino Observatory (INO)," *Eur.Phys.J.Plus* **127** (2012) 106. Cited in Section 7.6 (pg.185).
282. A. Butkevich, "Quasi-elastic neutrino charged-current scattering off medium-heavy nuclei: 40Ca and 40Ar," *Phys.Rev.* **C85** (2012) 065501, arXiv:1204.3160 [nucl-th]. Cited in Section 7.6 (pg.186).
283. A. Butkevich and S. A. Kulagin, "Quasi-elastic neutrino charged-current scattering cross sections on oxygen," *Phys.Rev.* **C76** (2007) 045502, arXiv:0705.1051 [nucl-th]. Cited in Section 7.6 (pg.186).
284. A. M. Ankowski and J. T. Sobczyk, "Construction of spectral functions for medium-mass nuclei," *Phys.Rev.* **C77** (2008) 044311, arXiv:0711.2031 [nucl-th]. Cited in Section 7.6 (pg.186).
285. T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, "The nuMSM, dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe," *Phys.Lett.* **B620** (2005) 17–26, arXiv:hep-ph/0505013 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 7.7 (pg.187) and 7.7 (pg.188).
286. D. Gorbunov and M. Shaposhnikov, "How to find neutral leptons of the νMSM?," *JHEP* **0710** (2007) 015, arXiv:0705.1729 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 7.7 (pg.187) and 7.7 (pg.188).
287. A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, and M. Shaposhnikov, "The Role of sterile neutrinos in cosmology and astrophysics," *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **59** (2009) 191–214, arXiv:0901.0011 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.187).
288. S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, "Sterile-neutrinos as dark matter," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **72** (1994) 17–20, arXiv:hep-ph/9303287 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.187).
289. A. Atre, T. Han, S. Pascoli, and B. Zhang, "The Search for Heavy Majorana Neutrinos," *JHEP* **0905** (2009) 030, arXiv:0901.3589 [hep-ph]. Cited in Sections 7.7 (pg.187) and 7.7 (pg.188).
290. M. Shaposhnikov, "The nuMSM, leptonic asymmetries, and properties of singlet fermions," *JHEP* **0808** (2008) 008, arXiv:0804.4542 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.187).
291. E. K. Akhmedov, V. Rubakov, and A. Y. Smirnov, "Baryogenesis via neutrino oscillations," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **81** (1998) 1359–1362, arXiv:hep-ph/9803255 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).
292. A. M. Cooper-Sarkar *et al.*, **WA66 Collaboration** , "Search for Heavy Neutrino Decays in the BEBC Beam Dump Experiment," *Phys.Lett.* **B160** (1985) 207. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).
293. F. Bergsma *et al.*, **CHARM Collaboration** , "A Search for Decays of Heavy Neutrinos in the Mass Range 0.5-GeV to 2.8-GeV," *Phys.Lett.* **B166** (1986) 473. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).

DRAFT

294. A. Vaitaitis *et al.*, **NuTeV Collaboration, E815 Collaboration** , "Search for Neutral Heavy Leptons in a High-Energy Neutrino Beam," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999) 4943–4946, arXiv:hep-ex/9908011 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).
295. G. Bernardi, G. Carugno, J. Chauveau, F. Dicarlo, M. Dris, *et al.*, "Search for Neutrino Decay," *Phys.Lett.* **B166** (1986) 479. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).
296. G. Bernardi, G. Carugno, J. Chauveau, F. Dicarlo, M. Dris, *et al.*, "Further Limits on Heavy Neutrino Couplings," *Phys.Lett.* **B203** (1988) 332. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.188).
297. L. Canetti and M. Shaposhnikov, "Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in the NuMSM," *JCAP* **1009** (2010) 001, arXiv:1006.0133 [hep-ph].
298. C. Kullenberg *et al.*, **NOMAD Collaboration** , "A Search for Single Photon Events in Neutrino Interactions in NOMAD," *Phys.Lett.* **B706** (2012) 268–275, arXiv:1111.3713 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 7.7 (pg.190).
299. C. Volpe, N. Auerbach, G. Colo, T. Suzuki, and N. Van Giai, "Neutrino C-12 reactions and the LSND and KARMEN experiments on neutrino oscillations," *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **64** (2001) 1165–1168. Cited in Section 7.8 (pg.190).
300. M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, "Sterile neutrino oscillations after first MiniBooNE results," *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 093005, arXiv:0705.0107 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.8 (pg.190).
301. P. Ade *et al.*, **Planck Collaboration** , "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters," arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO], 2013. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.192).
302. C. Bennett *et al.*, **WMAP** , "Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Final Maps and Results," *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **208** (2013) 20, arXiv:1212.5225 [astro-ph.CO]. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.192).
303. B. Batell, M. Pospelov, and A. Ritz, "Exploring Portals to a Hidden Sector Through Fixed Targets," *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 095024, arXiv:0906.5614 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.193).
304. P. deNiverville, M. Pospelov, and A. Ritz, "Observing a light dark matter beam with neutrino experiments," *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 075020, arXiv:1107.4580 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.193).
305. P. deNiverville, D. McKeen, and A. Ritz, "Signatures of sub-GeV dark matter beams at neutrino experiments," *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 035022, arXiv:1205.3499 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.193).
306. R. Dharmapalan *et al.*, **MiniBooNE Collaboration** , "Low Mass WIMP Searches with a Neutrino Experiment: A Proposal for Further MiniBooNE Running," FERMILAB-PROPOSAL-1032, arXiv:1211.2258 [hep-ex], 2012. Cited in Section 7.9 (pg.193).
307. H. Bethe, "Energy production in stars," *Phys.Rev.* **55** (1939) 434–456. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.195).
308. C. Weizsäcker, "Über Elementumwandlungen im Innern der Sterne II," *Physik.Z.* **39** (1938) 633–646. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.195).

DRAFT

309. J. N. Bahcall, A. M. Serenelli, and S. Basu, "New solar opacities, abundances, helioseismology, and neutrino fluxes," *Astrophys.J.* **621** (2005) L85–L88, arXiv:astro-ph/0412440 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.195).
310. S. Fukuda *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Solar B-8 and hep neutrino measurements from 1258 days of Super-Kamiokande data," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **86** (2001) 5651–5655, arXiv:hep-ex/0103032 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.195).
311. Q. Ahmad *et al.*, **SNO Collaboration** , "Measurement of the rate of nu/e + d -> p + p + e- interactions produced by B-8 solar neutrinos at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **87** (2001) 071301, arXiv:nucl-ex/0106015 [nucl-ex]. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.195).
312. G. Bellini, J. Benziger, D. Bick, S. Bonetti, G. Bonfini, *et al.*, "Precision measurement of the 7Be solar neutrino interaction rate in Borexino," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 141302, arXiv:1104.1816 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.197).
313. C. Kraus, **SNO+ Collaboration** , "SNO with liquid scintillator: SNO+," *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **57** (2006) 150–152. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.197).
314. H. Sekiya, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Solar neutrino analysis of Super-Kamiokande," arXiv:1307.3686, 2013. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.197).
315. A. Guglielmi, **ICARUS Collaboration** , "Status and early events from ICARUS T600," *Nucl.Phys* **B (Proc. Suppl.) 229-232** (2012) 342–346. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.198).
316. G. Bellini *et al.*, **Borexino Collaboration** , "First evidence of pep solar neutrinos by direct detection in Borexino," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 051302, arXiv:1110.3230 [hep-ex].
317. G. Bellini *et al.*, **Borexino Collaboration** , "Measurement of the solar 8B neutrino rate with a liquid scintillator target and 3 MeV energy threshold in the Borexino detector," *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 033006, arXiv:0808.2868 [astro-ph].
318. A. Gando *et al.*, **KamLAND Collaboration** , "Reactor On-Off Antineutrino Measurement with KamLAND," arXiv:1303.4667 [hep-ex], 2013. Cited in Section 8.1 (pg.199).
319. J. Silk, K. A. Olive, and M. Srednicki, "The Photino, the Sun and High-Energy Neutrinos," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **55** (1985) 257–259. Cited in Section 8.2 (pg.199).
320. M. Cirelli, N. Fornengo, T. Montaruli, I. A. Sokalski, A. Strumia, *et al.*, "Spectra of neutrinos from dark matter annihilations," *Nucl.Phys.* **B727** (2005) 99–138, arXiv:hep-ph/0506298 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 8.2 (pg.200).
321. J. LoSecco, J. Van der Velde, R. Bionta, G. Blewitt, C. Bratton, *et al.*, "Limits on the Flux of Energetic Neutrinos from the Sun," *Phys.Lett.* **B188** (1987) 388. Cited in Section 8.2 (pg.200).
322. M. Aartsen *et al.*, **IceCube Collaboration** , "Search for dark matter annihilations in the Sun with the 79-string IceCube detector," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 131302, arXiv:1212.4097 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 8.2 (pg.200).
323. M. Blennow, M. Carrigan, and E. F. Martinez, "Probing the Dark Matter mass and nature with neutrinos," *JCAP* **1306** (2013) 038, arXiv:1303.4530 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 8.2 (pg.200).

DRAFT

324. T. Totani, K. Sato, and Y. Yoshii, "Spectrum of the supernova relic neutrino background and evolution of galaxies," *Astrophys.J.* **460** (1996) 303–312, arXiv:astro-ph/9509130 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
325. K. Sato, T. Totani, and Y. Yoshii, "Spectrum of the supernova relic neutrino background and evolution of galaxies," 1997. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
326. D. Hartmann and S. Woosley, "The cosmic supernova neutrino background," *Astropart.Phys.* **7** (1997) 137–146. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
327. R. Malaney, "Evolution of the cosmic gas and the relic supernova neutrino background," *Astropart.Phys.* **7** (1997) 125–136, arXiv:astro-ph/9612012 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
328. M. Kaplinghat, G. Steigman, and T. Walker, "The Supernova relic neutrino background," *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 043001, arXiv:astro-ph/9912391 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
329. S. Ando, J. F. Beacom, and H. Yuksel, "Detection of neutrinos from supernovae in nearby galaxies," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95** (2005) 171101, arXiv:astro-ph/0503321 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
330. C. Lunardini, "Testing neutrino spectra formation in collapsing stars with the diffuse supernova neutrino flux," *Phys.Rev.* **D75** (2007) 073022, arXiv:astro-ph/0612701 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
331. M. Fukugita and M. Kawasaki, "Constraints on the star formation rate from supernova relic neutrino observations," *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* **340** (2003) L7, arXiv:astro-ph/0204376 [astro-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
332. P. Vogel and J. F. Beacom, "Angular distribution of neutron inverse beta decay, anti-neutrino(e) + p —> e+ + n," *Phys.Rev.* **D60** (1999) 053003, arXiv:hep-ph/9903554 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
333. A. Strumia and F. Vissani, "Precise quasielastic neutrino nucleon cross section," *Phys. Lett.* **B564** (2003) 42–54, arXiv:astro-ph/0302055. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
334. W. E. Ormand, P. M. Pizzochero, P. F. Bortignon, and R. A. Broglia, "Neutrino capture cross-sections for Ar-40 and Beta decay of Ti-40," *Phys. Lett.* **B345** (1995) 343–350, arXiv:nucl-th/9405007. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
335. E. Kolbe, K. Langanke, G. Martinez-Pinedo, and P. Vogel, "Neutrino nucleus reactions and nuclear structure," *J. Phys.* **G29** (2003) 2569–2596, arXiv:nucl-th/0311022. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
336. M. Sajjad Athar and S. K. Singh, "nu/e (anti-nu/e) - Ar-40 absorption cross sections for supernova neutrinos," *Phys. Lett.* **B591** (2004) 69–75. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.201).
337. A. Cocco, A. Ereditato, G. Fiorillo, G. Mangano, and V. Pettorino, "Supernova relic neutrinos in liquid argon detectors," *JCAP* **0412** (2004) 002, arXiv:hep-ph/0408031 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 8.3 (pg.202).
338. R. Abbasi *et al.*, **IceCube Collaboration** , "Search for Relativistic Magnetic Monopoles with IceCube," *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 022001, arXiv:1208.4861 [astro-ph.HE]. Cited in Section 8.4 (pg.203).

DRAFT

339. M. Aartsen *et al.*, **IceCube Collaboration** , "The IceCube Neutrino Observatory Part IV: Searches for Dark Matter and Exotic Particles," arXiv:1309.7007 [astro-ph.HE], 2013. Cited in Section 8.4 (pg.203).
340. K. Ueno *et al.*, **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** , "Search for GUT Monopoles at Super-Kamiokande," *Astropart.Phys.* **36** (2012) 131–136, arXiv:1203.0940 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 8.4 (pg.203).
341. M. Aartsen *et al.*, **IceCube Collaboration** , "Search for non-relativistic Magnetic Monopoles with IceCube," arXiv:1402.3460 [astro-ph.CO], 2014. Cited in Section 8.4 (pg.203).
342. M. Ambrosio *et al.*, **MACRO Collaboration** , "Final results of magnetic monopole searches with the MACRO experiment," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C25** (2002) 511–522, arXiv:hep-ex/0207020 [hep-ex]. Cited in Section 8.4 (pg.203).
343. R. Mohapatra, "Neutron-Anti-Neutron Oscillation: Theory and Phenomenology," *J.Phys.* **G36** (2009) 104006, arXiv:0902.0834 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 8.5 (pg.204).
344. The United States Department of Energy, "Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets," DOE, DOE O 413.3B, November, 2010. Cited in Section 9.1 (pg.206).
345. J. Strait, R. Wilson, and V. Papadimitriou, "LBNE Presentations to P5," LBNE-doc-8694, November, 2013. Cited in Section 9.1 (pg.207).
346. S. Bilenky and C. Giunti, "Neutrinoless double-beta decay: A brief review," *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A27** (2012) 1230015, arXiv:1203.5250 [hep-ph]. Cited in Section 9.2 (pg.209).
347. **LBNE Project Management Team** , "LBNE Conceptual Design Report: The LBNE Water Cherenkov Detector," LBNE-doc-5118, 2012. Cited in Section 9.3 (pg.210).
348. J. Hewett, H. Weerts, K. Babu, J. Butler, B. Casey, *et al.*, "Planning the Future of U.S. Particle Physics (Snowmass 2013): Chapter 2: Intensity Frontier," FERMILAB-CONF-14-019-CH02, arXiv:1401.6077 [hep-ex], 2014. Cited in Section 9.4.1 (pg.210).
349. C. Green, J. Kowalkowski, M. Paterno, M. Fischler, L. Garren, *et al.*, "The art framework," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **396** (2012) 022020. Cited in Section A.1.1 (pg.213).
350. T. Katori, **MicroBooNE Collaboration** , "MicroBooNE, A Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber (LArTPC) Neutrino Experiment," *AIP Conf.Proc.* **1405** (2011) 250–255, arXiv:1107.5112 [hep-ex]. Cited in Sections A.1.1 (pg.213) and A.3 (pg.221).
351. M. Soderberg, **ArgoNeuT Collaboration** , "ArgoNeuT: A Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber Test in the NuMI Beamline," FERMILAB-CONF-09-516-E, arXiv:0910.3433 [physics.ins-det], 2009. Cited in Section A.1.1 (pg.213).
352. D.Huffman, "A Method for the Construction of Minimum-Redundancy Codes," in *Proceedings of the IRE*. 1952. Cited in Section A.1.1 (pg.215).
353. M. Szydagis, N. Barry, K. Kazkaz, J. Mock, D. Stolp, *et al.*, "NEST: A Comprehensive Model for Scintillation Yield in Liquid Xenon," *JINST* **6** (2011) P10002, arXiv:1106.1613 [physics.ins-det]. Cited in Section A.1.1 (pg.215).

DRAFT

354. C. Hagman, D. Lange, J. Verbeke, and D. Wright, "Cosmic-ray Shower Library (CRY)," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, UCRL-TM-229453, March, 2012. `http://nuclear.llnl.gov/simulation/doc_cry_v1.7/cry.pdf`. Cited in Section A.1.1 (pg.216).
355. R. P. Sandhir, S. Muhuri, and T. Nayak, "Dynamic Fuzzy c-Means (dFCM) Clustering and its Application to Calorimetric Data Reconstruction in High Energy Physics," *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.* **A681** (2012) 34–43, `arXiv:1204.3459 [nucl-ex]`. Cited in Section A.2 (pg.218).
356. R. E. Kalman, "A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems," *Transactions of the ASME–Journal of Basic Engineering* **82** no. Series D, (1960) 35–45. Cited in Section A.2 (pg.218).
357. J. Marshall and M. Thomson, "The Pandora software development kit for particle flow calorimetry," *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **396** (2012) 022034. Cited in Section A.2 (pg.219).
358. A. Accardi, J. Albacete, M. Anselmino, N. Armesto, E. Aschenauer, *et al.*, "Electron Ion Collider: The Next QCD Frontier - Understanding the glue that binds us all," BNL-98815-2012-JA, JLAB-PHY-12-1652, `arXiv:1212.1701 [nucl-ex]`, 2012. Cited in Sections B (pg.233) and B (pg.235).

DRAFT