Department of Energy
Office of Science
Washington, DC 20585

Office of the Director

March 19, 2012

Dr. Pier Oddone
Director
Fermilab
Wilson and Kirks Road
Batavia, IL 60510-5011

Dear Pier,

Thank you for your recent presentation on the status and plans for the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment (LBNE). The project team and the scientific collaboration have done an excellent job responding to our requests to assess the technology choices and refine the cost estimates for LBNE. We believe that the conceptual design is well advanced and the remaining technical issues are understood.

The scientific community and the National Academy of Sciences repeatedly have examined and endorsed the case for underground science. We concur with this conclusion, and this has been the motivator for us to determine a path forward as quickly as possible following the decision of the National Science Board to terminate development of the Homestake Mine as a site for underground science.

We have considered both the science opportunities and the cost and schedule estimates for LBNE that you have presented to us. We have done so in the context of planning for the overall Office of Science program as well as current budget projections.

Based on our considerations, we cannot support the LBNE project as it is currently configured. This decision is not a negative judgment about the importance of the science, but rather it is a recognition that the peak cost of the project cannot be accommodated in the current budget climate or that projected for the next decade.

In order to advance this activity on a sustainable path, I would like Fermilab to lead the development of an affordable and phased approach that will enable important science results at each phase. Alternative configurations to LBNE should also be considered. Options that allow us to independently develop the Homestake Mine as a future facility for dark matter experiments should be included in your considerations.

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

A report outlining options and alternatives is needed as soon as practical to provide input to our strategic plan for the Intensity Frontier program. OHEP will provide additional details on realistic cost and schedule profiles and on the due date for the report.

Thank you,

W. F. Brinkman
Director, Office of Science